UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

CONSUMER ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
DECEMBER 11, 2019

+ + + + +

The Advisory Committee met in the Commissioners Meeting Room, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., Steve Pociask, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: AJIT PAI, Chairman

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

STEVE POCIASK, Committee Chairman

DEBRA R. BERLYN, National Consumers League

ZAINAB ALKEBSI, Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network

SAM BRINTON, The Trevor Project

BARBARA BURTON, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates

FARHAN CHUGHTAI, USTelecom

JOSLYN DAY, Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Cable

B. LYNN FOLLANSBEE, USTelecom

MATTHEW GERST, CTIA - The Wireless Association

SUSAN GRANT, Consumer Federation of America *

JONATHON HAUENSCHILD, American Legislative Exchange Counsel

BRIAN HURLEY, America's Communications Association - ACA Connects

JOHNNY KAMPIS, serving individually as a subjectmatter expert, Special Government Employee

ERIC KOCH, serving individually as a subjectmatter expert, Special Government Employee

SARAH LEGGIN, CTIA

VONDA LONG-DILLARD, AT&T

STEVEN MORRIS, NCTA - The Internet and Television Association

SHIRLEY ROOKER, Call for Action *

MICHAEL SANTORELLI, serving individually as a subject-matter expert, Special Government Employee

BARRY UMANSKY, Digital Policy Institute *
LARRY WALKE, National Association of
Broadcasters*

BRIAN YOUNG, National Consumers League BOHDAN ZACHARY, Milwaukee PBS

COMMISSION STAFF:

SCOTT MARSHALL, Designated Federal Official
CHRISTINE CLEARWATER, Deputy Designated Federal
Official

EDUARD BARTHOLME

DIANE BURSTEIN

RASHANN DUVALL

BARBARA ESBIN

JESSE JACHMAN

JULIUS KNAPP

LORI MAARBJERG

JACLYN ROSEN

MARK STONE

KRISTI THOMPSON

PATRICK WEBRE

^{*}Present by teleconference

A-G-E-N-D-A

Welcome and Call to Order 4
Introductions and Meeting Logistics5
Remarks of the Chairman and Commissioners Remarks of Chairman Ajit Pai
Update on 5G and What It Means for Consumers
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (CGB) Update Patrick Webre, Chief, CGB
Update on Pending Legislation of Interest to Consumers82
Update on Precision Ag Connectivity Task Force102
Update on FCC's Connected Care Grant Pilot Program
Update on Consumer Scams
Consideration of Caller ID Authentication Recommendation
CAC Member Discussion and Next Meeting 171
Comments from the Public
Transition to All Electronic Filing for ULS, ASR, and TCNS
Adjournment

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:12 a.m.

CHAIR POCIASK: Well, welcome, everyone, and thank you for attending the Consumer Advisory Committee meeting today. So, welcome to you all. Let me call the meeting to order.

So, we're going to have introductions in just a second. Let me just start. I have a sign-in sheet, if you wouldn't mind just checking off your name, and we'll check later on because we do have a recommendation queued up later this afternoon, so we want to make sure that we get a quorum.

So if I can, let me just start it around this way and we can come back. Just see your name and check it off, if you're substituted for someone else, just indicate that, and then with that, let's begin.

Just one thing I just want to keep in terms of protocol is if you have a question or a comment you want to make, make sure you raise

1	your hand. That also helps queue up the mics in
2	the back as well.
3	So let's begin the introductions. So
4	I'm Steve Pociask. I'm with the American
5	Consumer Institute and it's a pleasure to see you
6	all. Let me turn it over to Debbie, and let's
7	just go around and just do quick introductions.
8	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Hi, I'm Debbie
9	Berlyn representing the National Consumers
10	League.
11	MEMBER HAUENSCHILD: Jonathon
12	Hauenschild representing the American Legislative
13	Exchange Council.
14	MEMBER KOCH: Indiana State Senator
15	Eric Koch serving as a subject matter expert.
16	MEMBER LEGGIN: Sarah Leggin
17	representing CTIA.
18	MEMBER LONG-DILLARD: Vonda Long at
19	AT&T.
20	MEMBER GERST: Matt Gerst with CTIA.
21	MEMBER MORRIS: Steve Morris, NCTA.
22	MEMBER FOLLANSBEE: Lynn Follansbee,

1	USTelecom.
2	MEMBER CHUGHTAI: Farhan Chughtai,
3	USTelecom.
4	MEMBER SANTORELLI: Michael Santorelli
5	serving individually.
6	MEMBER BURTON: Good morning, I'm
7	Barbara Burton, the alternate for Thaddeus
8	Johnson who represents NASUCA.
9	MEMBER ZACHARY: Good morning, I'm
10	Bohdan Zachary from Milwaukee PBS.
11	MEMBER HURLEY: Good morning, Brian
12	Hurley, ACA Connects.
13	MEMBER ALKEBSI: Good morning, this is
14	Zainab Alkebsi from the National Association of
15	the Deaf representing the Deaf and Hard of
16	Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network.
17	MEMBER DAY: Good morning, Joslyn Day,
18	Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications
19	and Cable.
20	MEMBER KAMPIS: Johnny Kampis serving
21	individually with the Taxpayers Protection
22	Alliance.

1	MEMBER BRINTON: Sam Brinton, Head of
2	Advocacy and Government Affairs for The Trevor
3	Project, the nation's LGBT suicide hotline.
4	MS. CLEARWATER: Christina Clearwater,
5	Deputy Designated Federal Officer.
6	MR. MARSHALL: And I'm Scott Marshall,
7	the Designated Federal Officer for the Committee.
8	CHAIR POCIASK: And do we have anybody
9	online, somebody who maybe called into the
10	bridge? Can we check?
11	MEMBER UMANSKY: Digital Policy
12	Institute.
13	MEMBER GRANT: Susan Grant, Consumers
14	Federation of America.
15	CHAIR POCIASK: Who was the first
16	person?
17	MEMBER UMANSKY: Yeah, Barry Umansky,
18	Digital Policy Institute.
19	MEMBER WALKE: Larry Walke from the
20	National Association of Broadcasters.
21	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: It was Barry?
22	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, it's Barry.

1	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Okay.
2	CHAIR POCIASK: Barry was the first
3	person.
4	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: He was the first
5	one? Okay.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah.
7	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: All right.
8	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, good, so we'll
9	have to keep tabs on the attendance today. At
10	approximately 11:50 today, we'll need a quorum
11	for our, a recommended, a recommendation that
12	we're going to try to vote out.
13	So, with that, let me also take a
14	moment here to thank CTIA for our food that
15	they're providing us with, both the breakfast and
16	lunch today, so thanks so much for helping us
17	out.
18	(Applause.)
19	CHAIR POCIASK: So, now, I hope
20	everyone has an agenda in front. We have a lot
21	of things to do, a few slides and a video to
22	queue up, and some, I think, interesting

1	discussions on issues of 5G, and the pilot
2	program, and things such as that, so that will be
3	one of the important items for the day.
4	So, Scott, did you want to start with
5	a video or
6	MR. MARSHALL: I take it the Chairman
7	has not yet arrived?
8	CHAIR POCIASK: Not yet.
9	MR. MARSHALL: Okay, why don't we talk
LO	about the, in the interim, meeting dates
L1	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, okay, so
L2	MR. MARSHALL: potential meetings
L3	dates for the remainder of the year
L 4	CHAIR POCIASK: Right.
L5	MR. MARSHALL: or the remainder of
L6	this term?
L7	CHAIR POCIASK: So, a couple of
L8	things, first, we're going to have a special
L9	hybrid teleconference and it will also be
20	available as an in-person meeting on February 13
21	from 2:00 to 3:00, and this will deal with the
22	Robocall Report Working Group, and hopefully

1	they'll have a recommendation for us, so it will
2	be a special hybrid meeting. Again, that's
3	February 13 from 2:00 to 3:00.
4	Our next plenary meeting will be
5	Friday tentatively, it's Friday, April 17, so
6	you want to mark your calendar and let me know,
7	so that's April 17. That's the tentative meeting
8	date.
9	And then the September meeting will
10	either be Wednesday, September 23 or Friday,
11	September 25. Again, these are all tentative
12	dates in terms of the our quarterly meetings
13	are concerned.
14	MR. MARSHALL: Does anyone have any
15	concerns about those dates?
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, does anything
17	yeah, does
18	MR. MARSHALL: Conflicts with
19	meetings, that kind of thing?
20	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, yeah, check on
21	that and let me know if there is a problem, so
22	that's kind of you know, all we've done on

1	that part is really just check with the
2	availability of the room and that sort of thing,
3	so we're good to go as far as we know, all right,
4	excellent.
5	MR. MARSHALL: And we'll send out a
6	hold the date confirmation email
7	CHAIR POCIASK: Right.
8	MR. MARSHALL: as well if we can't
9	decide these dates definitely today.
10	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, good.
11	MR. MARSHALL: That takes care of
12	that.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: All right, should we
14	wait another minute?
15	MR. MARSHALL: Yeah, let's wait a few.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: We just have a minute.
17	We have the Chairman joining us for a few
18	comments and he should be here just any moment.
19	MR. MARSHALL: Don't go away.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. MARSHALL: Don't go away.
22	MS. CLEARWATER: He's on his way.

CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, great, okay.

Steve, do I have a mic MR. MARSHALL: in front of me? Oh, here it is. Here's the microphone, yeah. Just one further comment. We'll have lunch at 12:10 just after we consider the Caller ΙD Authentication Working proposed recommendation, and then we'll be back in the afternoon for some further discussion and also our last presenter, and then we probably will adjourn shortly before 2:00. Okay, that's the remainder of the day.

We're also going to circulate, as an experiment, a food and drink preferences sheet so that we can order food more intelligently for the April meeting in terms of your preferences and all of that stuff.

I don't know if I can guarantee anything as a result of that, but it will at least give us an idea of how many of which sandwich variety to order, and beverages, and that kind of stuff, so let's see how that works in terms of going forward. If it does, we'll do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it for each meeting.

CHAIR POCIASK: So, starting us off then, we have the Chairman to provide us some remarks. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PAI: Thanks so much, Steve, and I apologize for being a little bit late. It was a wild night for me, needless to say, but that's why the traditional mug is not here. It's a little hungover, so I've got the substitute here this morning, but --

(Off-mic comment.)

CHAIRMAN PAI: Yeah, exactly. But I'm really happy to be here this morning with all of you. It's good to see all of you here at the Commission, and I want to give a special thanks to our distinguished chair, Steve, and our vice chair, Debbie.

And as you know, Debbie previously served as the chairperson of the CAC for three terms. This is the fourth iteration, so I guess that makes you the Franklin Delano Roosevelt of the Consumer Advisory Committee, so --

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN PAI: -- but many more terms.

You're not term limited in this regard.

But I did want to talk for a little bit about our top consumer protection priority, and I know it is something that you have thought about a lot as well, which is tackling the scourge of unwanted robocalls. I've already gotten a couple this morning, and it just drives all us of crazy.

Τn the month of October alone, something like 5.7 billion robocalls were launched at American consumers. That's something like 2,115 every single second, and this is one of the reasons why American consumers are so feel frustrated every time they that vibrate or hear it ring.

And again, that's why it's our top consumer protection priority, but obviously the FCC can't tackle this problem alone. We need the assistance of folks like you, consumer advocates who could help us address this issue.

So we've done a few different things that I'm sure you've heard of before, but I'll just tick through very quickly. We've enabled phone companies to block calls that are highly likely to be illegal, coming from area codes that don't exist, for example, which is a pretty good sign.

We've also clarified that providers can, voice providers can immediately start offering call blocking services by default so that consumers don't have to proactively call their phone company and sign up for those services.

We've closed a loophole by banning malicious caller ID spoofing of text messages and foreign calls. We don't want to see text messaging, for example, become one of those services that is inundated with spam.

We've also created a reassigned numbers database so those legitimate callers who are trying to call a number that has been reassigned don't end up giving you the hassle

that was meant for somebody else. 1 We've also taken aggressive 2 3 enforcement action against bad actors, including the largest fines in the FCC's history. 4 issued advisories. 5 Every time we hear about one of these 6 7 scams, for example, the one-ring scam, where you might get a call in the middle of the night from 8 Mauritania and it would ring just once and it 9 10 would try to entice the consumer into calling 11 back, and that's the kind of thing we've issued 12 advisories on. And we've done a lot of webinars and 13 14 tele-town halls thanks in part to our fantastic Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau. 15 16 And while we're on the topic 17 outreach, I do want to say I look forward to the recommendations that you'll be considering this 18 19 afternoon regarding consumer education about the implementation of SHAKEN/STIR of STIR/SHAKEN. 20 21 I guess we have to go back to the Ian

Fleming books to figure out what the proper order

of that is, but --1 2 (Laughter.) 3 CHAIRMAN PAI: -- the important thing to caller is improvement 4 that it's an ΙD authentication. 5 And along those lines, and I don't 6 7 blame you if you don't follow me on Twitter, but you might have seen that I had the first official 8 9 cross border SHAKEN/STIR authenticated call with 10 my counterpart in Canada, the Chairman of the 11 CRTC, Scott, and Mr. Ian it pretty was 12 impressive. When he called me, I could see on my 13 phone Ian Scott, or Chairman Scott is verified, 14 15 and it was something, I have to say, to be able 16 to answer the phone knowing, okay, it is 17 definitely Ian Scott. It's not somebody purporting to give 18 19 me a free Marriott vacation, or speaking 20 Chinese, or whatever. I mean, nothing against 21 Putonghua, but I don't want to hear a language I

don't understand.

So anyway, this demonstrates our joint commitment to this fight against robocalls, and I'm determined that we continue to press this issue domestically so that we can finally help attack this problem.

And I've made clear that I expect major voice providers to implement this framework by the end of this year, and if they fail to do so, we'll be taking action in the new year to ensure that they do.

And so your recommendations on the needs for caller ID authentication back in February of 2018 was very helpful in getting us to this point today.

also want to thank for you recommendation that you made in September that service providers should notify consumers if calls intended for them are blocked, as well as offer consumers a call log of blocked calls or any similar tools that they can be able looking staff is those access, and our at recommendations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	So the bottom line is there is no
2	silver bullet to this problem. We have to take a
3	multi-pronged approach and a multi-stakeholder
4	approach, and so I just wanted to thank you again
5	for all of your efforts on this issue.
6	Now, despite the fact that it drives
7	everybody crazy, this isn't the only consumer
8	protection issue we are working on, and so I know
9	you're looking at other issues as well, and
10	continue to be in touch with us. Give us your
11	feedback and let us know what we can do to help
12	advance the ball on behalf of the public
13	interest.
14	With that, I don't want to belabor my
15	welcome, but I just want to thank you once again
16	for all of your work here at the Commission, and
17	I look forward to working with you in the time to
18	come, so thank you for inviting me.
19	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, do you have a
20	moment to just take a couple of questions?
21	CHAIRMAN PAI: Oh, sure, yeah.
22	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, so if anyone has

1	a question, just, you know.
2	CHAIRMAN PAI: About any of the
3	topics, by the way. We have a lot of exciting
4	stuff going on, including, as some folks know,
5	tomorrow, rolling out my proposal, or hopefully
6	adopting my proposal to establish 988 as the
7	three-digit number for suicide prevention and
8	mental health assistance. A lot of good stuff
9	happening, so feel free to ask about anything
10	under the sun.
11	CHAIR POCIASK: Any questions? Anyone
12	on the phone?
13	CHAIRMAN PAI: If only Congressional
14	hearings were like this. This is great!
15	(Laughter.)
16	MEMBER BRINTON: Chairman, I'll humbly
17	ask one. So obviously robocalls oh, I'm
18	sorry.
19	PARTICIPANT: And identify yourself.
20	MEMBER BRINTON: Sorry, thank you.
21	I'm sorry. I'm still learning the process. Sam
22	Brinton, The Trevor Project.

1	Chairman, obviously robocalls,
2	everyone is talking about them, right? They're a
3	nuisance. There's a lot of different solutions
4	that are coming forward. What do you think is
5	the best? What are some proposals that you've
6	heard on public education around this issue?
7	Because I think, especially as we talk
8	about suicide prevention, there's a lot of things
9	that our phones are our lifelines, right?
10	They're our way to connect to people
11	we really care about, and so educating ourselves
12	on why these robocalls are a problem that we're
13	dealing with, but, you know, also what we can do
14	about them, I think, is really important.
15	It's not just about the technology.
16	It's about the education. So what are some
17	things that you've heard at the Commission around
18	education on this issue?
19	CHAIRMAN PAI: Certainly part of it is
20	just getting out into the field and meeting
21	consumers on their own turf.
22	For example, I recently held a town

hall in coordination with the AARP out in Nebraska, and meeting with a bunch of consumers, seniors who, they might not think about going to the FCC's website. They're certainly unlikely to visit the FCC's headquarters and watch our proceedings.

So I think it's important for people like me and our staff to get out there and to hold these kind of fora where -- fora for you Latin sticklers -- where we can tell people, "Look, this is some of the things we're doing," but also just the basic stuff that a lot of us take for granted.

If you don't recognize the phone number, don't answer the phone. If you answer the phone, don't give out personal information. Don't say the word "yes." I mean, those are the kinds of low-hanging fruit, so to speak, that I think a lot of consumers would appreciate hearing.

The other part is being just very proactive in working with our federal partners.

The Federal Trade Commission and state consumer protection agencies do a great job in helping get the word out.

And along those lines, when was it, a month ago, I guess it was, I was in Boston, Massachusetts where, along with Governor Baker and state officials from Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island and Connecticut, we held a forum about how all of the federal and state partners can work together to advance the ball on consumer protection, and part of it was just making state officials aware of what we're doing and me learning what they're doing so that then we can push out a unified message.

And I look forward to any other ideas that you've got that, but both in word and deed, I really want to make sure that I'm a presence on this issue here and across the country.

MEMBER BRINTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PAI: And thank you, by the way. Since you are here, I do want to thank The Trevor Project for the support on 988, along with

1	some of the other stakeholders.
2	I can't tell you how much I've heard
3	from folks who don't follow the nitty-gritty of
4	what we do, who have followed this issue and have
5	emailed or tweeted saying, "Hey, it's been a long
6	time coming, and this could mean the difference
7	between life and death for those who are
8	struggling with mental health issues," so we
9	really appreciate the support.
10	MEMBER BRINTON: We're honored to do
11	it.
12	CHAIR POCIASK: All right, anyone
13	else? Anyone online? All right, well, thank you
14	so much.
15	CHAIRMAN PAI: Thank you, folks.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: We appreciate you
17	coming.
18	CHAIRMAN PAI: Yeah, really good to
19	see you all. Thanks, all right.
20	(Applause.)
21	CHAIRMAN PAI: Oh, thanks.
22	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: I just want to say

1	a thank you to you for your leadership on this
2	issue and other issues, and I just want to ask
3	you if you're going to dance out of this meeting?
4	(Laughter.)
5	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: For anyone who was
6	there last night, the Chairman did a dance out of
7	his remarks at the Chairman's Dinner last night,
8	so I just wondered if he was going to dance out
9	for us.
LO	CHAIRMAN PAI: Well, I can say it
L1	seemed like a good idea at the time.
L2	(Laughter.)
L3	CHAIRMAN PAI: But at 46 with some
L 4	shaky knees, I don't know. I may have torn an
L5	ACL last night, so I'll probably just have to
L6	hobble out at this point, so. Thank you
L7	nonetheless for the invitation.
L8	CHAIR POCIASK: Thanks again.
L9	PARTICIPANT: Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRMAN PAI: My pleasure.
21	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, all right, so
22	next, we're going to move up to our 9:30 update

1	on 5G and what it means for consumers, and today
2	we have a presenter, Julius Knapp, the chief,
3	Office of Engineering and Technology, and with 45
4	years of experience, I understand. Thank you for
5	coming. Let me just get your card back there.
6	Thanks so much. It's a cued up video.
7	(Laughter.)
8	MR. KNAPP: Good morning, everybody.
9	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Good morning.
10	MR. KNAPP: So as I was preparing,
11	because there's a lot of information out there
12	about 5G, and I was focusing a bit on consumers,
13	and I was amused by some of the headlines. So
14	one of them was, as 5G launches, consumers
15	couldn't care less.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MR. KNAPP: So I think we're done.
18	No, I thought before talking about 5G, I'd say a
19	little bit about what we've learned from our past
20	experiences with the prior Gs, so, and I've
21	actually kind of lived every one of these.
22	So, the first generation of phones, it

was, we didn't even know we needed numbers for Gs, so there was speculation about whether it would ever get to be more than a million people with phones, and we all know what happened after that.

When we got to 2G and we went from analog to digital -- and you got to remember at the time, paging was big. You know, people wanted to have pagers. So when we got to 2G, there was short messaging service. Anybody have a pager?

So when we got to 3G, we started to see the first glimpses of internet connectivity and it really kind of set the stage for the game changer that came with 4G.

So why is 4G a game changer? Because it provided a more fulsome internet access, a higher level of connectivity, and data rates, and so forth. So just look at what it has done, whatever your perspective might be, to different industries and the way we look at, we get books, the way we make our hotel reservations,

newspapers and the media that we access, our retail, especially this time of year, everybody ordering gifts, taxis and the massive change in that service with the introduction of Lyft and Uber, hotels, restaurants, wearables. It really has changed all of our lives.

I went into a big box store a few days ago and I was just struck that as I walked in, all of the wireless devices, and granted, some of them are Wi-Fi, but the beauty of the Gs is the integration between the Wi-Fi and Bluetooth connectivity, which provide a relatively short range and coverage in hot spots and so forth, with the connection through your smart phone for wide area coverage.

So as I walked into this store, you know, there were the wireless thermostats, the video doorbells, so to speak, so you could sit here in this room, and if somebody is knocking at the door, and you purchased one of these things, you could actually see who was there, and the alarm systems that are there.

So, as the technology has gotten more 1 robust, we're seeing more innovation and more 2 3 products that are being made available 4 consumers. So I think the one thing to take away 5 6 from all of this is what we should expect is the 7 unexpected. You know, going back again in 4G, nobody had any idea the iPhone was coming, or the 8 apps marketplace and all of the opportunities 9 10 that that was going to hold. 11 So, what about 5G? So I will, without 12 the specifics, much greater speed availability, much greater capacity for folks so that you're 13 always getting a highly reliable service, and I 14 think one of the things that's really a game 15 16 changer is the reduced latency. 17 What that means, you know, I've often related to people it's what you experience when 18 19 you see the lips moving on the screen and the 20 voice is coming out a little later. That's

latency, and the idea here is to get it down.

Once you start to get down well below

21

10 milliseconds, it allows for real time interactions, and what real time interactions does for you is suddenly you can actually control machines and equipment at a distance and so forth, and it opens up the kinds of applications that need an almost immediate response.

So, let's talk about that a little bit. It's much more than cell phones. You know, folks focus on immediately what they're familiar with and, you know, what is it going to do for my phones?

It's certainly going to do more for the phones. It's always hard to predict what new innovations we're going to see from the phones because they're just getting better and more sophisticated every year.

Just a little story about how it's affected at least my life, we have a little lunch group that sits together each day, and sometimes we get into debates over particular facts, and what tends to happen is everybody pulls out their cell phone to do a little research to make sure

1	that they're the ones who are right.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. KNAPP: So we're no longer arguing
4	people. It's our phones that are arguing with
5	each other. You really have all of the
6	information of the world at your fingertips, and
7	I think that's only going to get better with 5G.
8	So what's so different? It really
9	opens up connectivity for what people refer to as
10	the verticals. When in transportation, where if
11	we're going to have vehicle to vehicle technology
12	that's reliable, we have to get down to very low
13	latencies for the interactions between the
14	vehicles, so there's a lot of activity looking at
15	versions of 5G for transportation.
16	I think when you look across the board
17	in all of the sectors, healthcare, energy, and so
18	forth, it is going to open up new opportunities
19	that we haven't seen before.
20	Perhaps mistakenly, I sometimes think
21	of consumers as at home, but it really is we're

consumers in all facets of our life, and so we're

already experiencing the changes from what have come and there's only more to come.

So, here at the Commission, we've been working hard to make sure that we are providing all of the access that 5G is going to need for spectrum, for dealing with things like infrastructure and so forth, and it's, I would say, one of the top priorities at the Commission and across government in trying to make sure that the U.S. leads on 5G.

One of the things that strikes me is also this is sometimes not well understood. It's critical for all of our factories. It is critical for our economy. The factories of today are automated. They have to change things on the fly sometimes. This technology could enable that as well.

Just a couple of myths, and then I'll open it up for questions. So 5G is not a single frequency band. So when you hear folks say, "Oh, it's all millimeter wave band, these high frequencies," and then you see the ad and

somebody says it's 5G and you think, "Oh, it's those millimeter wave bands." It's going to be multiple frequency bands.

The carriers all have assets, spectrum different parts of assets in the spectrum. Likely they'll use the higher ranges for high capacity at short distance. The mid-range, which is what we're working hard on right now to make more spectrum available, is kind of a sweet spot it's a good blend of coverage because The lower bands are great for coverage capacity. at distances, not as much total bandwidth.

If you look at any one in isolation, I would venture to say that there is not one band that is the total solution. It's the combination of all of these things together that really creates the power that you're going to need for 5G.

So I'll stop there and I'd be happy to -- oh, one more point. We have a tendency, I think, nowadays to, you know, the Commission does the rule making and you think, "Gee, it's all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

all it's going to 1 there. It's ___ happen 2 overnight." 3 It takes time to deploy systems. you're seeing is kind of the early introductions 4 It will take time to build out the 5 so far. 6 So, you know, I would reserve 7 judgments, whatever judgments you have about 5G and what it's going to do over time. So, I'd be 8 9 happy to take questions. 10 MEMBER KOCH: Eric Koch. Thank you 11 for your presentation. Can you comment on where 12 relative to other nations 5G we are 13 deployment? There's a lot being written about 14 that too. 15 Yeah, so some of these are MR. KNAPP: 16 my personal thoughts as well. We had 17 Technological Advisory Council just last week and 18 did a presentation on 5G and the Internet of 19 Things, and there's some terrific information in 20 there about comparing us to where we are in the 21 rest of the world.

of

led

kind

China,

22

the

through

government, has been heavily investing in base 1 stations and so forth. I think we're still in 2 3 the lead. Some might debate that, but I think what the real strength is of the United States is 4 our innovation and what you do with it. 5 6 It's not just having the ability to 7 have a data rate. It's the creativity where I think the United States has far outstretched 8 everybody else in the world, and I have every 9 10 expectation that's going to continue to happen. 11 MEMBER KOCH: Thank you. 12 CHAIR POCIASK: Go ahead. 13 VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Go ahead. Hi, Matt Gerst with 14 MEMBER GERST: 15 CTIA, so two comments. One, as we all heard, we 16 all know you're retiring, and so I just wanted to 17 again say thank you for your decades of service and leadership. 18 19 (Applause.) 20 MEMBER GERST: My second comment is, 21 you know, as we're moving into this 5G world, we 22 continue to hear about challenges at the local

level, and this being the Consumer Advisory 1 Committee, of local, folks at the local level 2 3 of understanding the value of 5G, understanding, you know, why we need to have the 4 right policies in place to make 5G happen. 5 6 What are some of the things that you -7 - how you think we can address those, you know, by educating the public about what the value and 8 9 benefits of 5G are? Yeah, and let me just sort 10 MR. KNAPP: of 11 allude to it. Ι know sometimes there's 12 questions about the RF exposure compliance and so forth, and last week, the Commission had released 13 an order which basically affirmed the standards 14 15 that we have in place. 16 And part of the tasking from the Commission in there was to update our information 17 the public help 18 for consumers and to 19 understand the technology, because I know there's 20 speculation lot of and completely not

The proper standards to protect people

understanding what that's all about.

21

1	are in place, and I think there's a bit of work
2	we have to do on the consumer outreach side to
3	help people understand and reassure them that
4	things are fine.
5	CHAIR POCIASK: Any other questions
6	and anyone on the phone?
7	MS. CLEARWATER: Hi, this is
8	Christina. Julie, your pending retirement is
9	going to leave quite a gap for us here at the
10	Commission, and I know we're all sorry to see you
11	depart.
12	But I wanted to leverage your
13	expertise and ask a question about what are the
14	things you see coming down the line, down the
15	pike, that we need to watch out for or keep an
16	eye out for, things that are exciting or new and
17	novel that are really things that we can be
18	keeping an eye out for?
19	MR. KNAPP: Thank you, so how much
20	time do we have?
21	(Laughter.)
22	MR. KNAPP: So, you know, at risk of

1 leaving anybody out -- and actually it's preview because I'm doing a session a little 2 3 later on today about the very same topic. So, just off the cuff and feeding off 4 5 of what I said before about things happening, and 6 then we think there's a Commission rule making 7 that's finished and we're all done, I think across every sector, you're seeing new services 8 and technologies that are being introduced. 9 10 So, in no particular order, I think you're going to see the first ATSC 3.0 television 11 12 sets introduced probably next year. I expect 13 you'll hear more about it from the Consumer Electronics Show, how that rolls out and exactly 14 what additional services it plays for consumers. 15 16 They're folks with some terrific ideas and we'll see if they take hold. 17 the satellite front, there 18 19 going to be deployed massive networks of 20 satellites for internet connectivity and 21 connectivity for the Internet of Things.

I was in a meeting not too long ago

and, you know, having been at this for a while, I 1 think of a satellite as a giant thing, you know, 2 3 and the folks who were there said, "Do you want see my satellite?" and I said, "You know, 4 5 They said, "It's in this box." So these are the CubeSats that are 6 7 small, and then they can be launched, you know, pretty economically. We've got students with 8 terrific experiments 9 that are going up for 10 satellites, so there's a lot happening on the 11 satellite front. 12 We've talked about 5G. I think some 13 of the things also in that RF exposure, we made 14 some proposals about wireless power transfer because we've got all of these devices. 15 16 It's particularly important for 17 sensors that would be deployed for the Internet of Things and not having to go out and change 18 batteries in them every few years, so that's a 19 20 technology that's still unfolding. 21 The unmanned aerial systems and we're,

you know, we're wrestling a little bit with some

of the spectrum issues, but the benefits of it are clear for things like, you'll hear about the package delivery and so forth, and you certainly have the hobbyist sort of things, but if you have an emergency out in an area, it's a fast way to get medication to that site.

To inspect the bridges, we used to have to have somebody go there, and to be able to actually take an HD camera and get under there, tower inspections, on and on, so that's another exciting technology.

I think longer term, you're going to see more stuff on the optical side just because, you know, if you can -- what's clever about some of the things that are happening optically is the question was, well, I can have massive bandwidth with an optical connection, but if something gets in the way, it disconnects, so how do I deal with this? If you build it as a network and if one thing doesn't get through -- so some of that technology has kind of been working into its way on the satellite side.

1	So I'm sure I'm leaving things out,
2	but unlicensed is going gangbusters, and WiFi. I
3	would venture to say that we accidentally created
4	the one technology you can use just about
5	anywhere in the world with your same device. It
6	just took hold.
7	For all of the efforts that go on,
8	it's a desirable outcome to harmonize spectrum
9	around the world. That's not always so easy
10	because of the existing encumbrances and so
11	forth. So, Wi-Fi is going gangbusters, as is
12	Bluetooth. So I'll stop there. That should be
13	enough.
14	And I do think, you know, being
15	mindful of all of the, as the technologies come
16	out, security, trying to build that in from the
17	start, privacy for consumers. All of those
18	things have to be accounted for too.
19	MS. CLEARWATER: Thank you.
20	MR. KNAPP: Yeah, good? Thank you.
21	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you so much.
22	(Applause.)

CHAIR That 1 POCIASK: was SO 2 interesting, so I really do appreciate that. So, I think we're ready to go. 3 All right, so 4 we're going to qo through series of from the Consumer 5 presentations Governmental 6 Affairs Bureau, and starting us off then, we'll have Patrick Webre. 7 Good morning, everyone, MR. WEBRE: 8 and welcome to the winter meeting of the Consumer 9 10 Advisory Committee. And I think for those of us 11 who live in D.C., winter may have finally arrived 12 A lot of us woke up this morning to some for us. 13 snow on our cars, snow on our lawns, snow on our 14 rooftops, so I think winter is finally here. And thank you again for being here 15 16 with us this morning. You're wrapping up your 17 2019 work in some busy fashion today. You have a very full agenda, and I'll highlight just a few 18 19 of those things. 20 First of all, you know, we kicked off 21 with Julie Knapp who has been with the Commission

He's just been

45

years.

for

22

great

а

wonderful person to work with. I've worked with him myself for about 15 of those years, and just a really pleasant person, a person who can put very complicated topics, I think, as we know, into, you know, user friendly, consumer friendly words and expressions, and it's very helpful for us, especially those of us who are technically challenged who are not engineers, and he's been a great person.

I know he's leaving behind a good legacy here. Lots of folks that worked with Julie in the Office of Engineering and Technology will carry on his legacy, but we all wish him well and we will all miss him very much.

So, you know, it was great to hear from Julie about what's coming up in 5G, what's coming down the pike. I like to pick his brain sometimes myself about -- I keep needling him about when are self-driving cars going to get here, you know?

The drivers in D.C. are not as good as these computers could be, I think, at some point,

and he's like, "It's going to be a while." He keeps, you know, toning me down a little bit, but I'm very excited about that possibility because it seems like some people don't want to drive and they'd rather be doing other things, and a lot of times, they are doing other things while they're driving.

So, after our briefing, you'll hear from Jesse Jachman. I'm sorry, first you'll hear from the Office of Legislative Affairs regarding some pending legislation. The TRACED Act is one of them. We've all heard some things about that, so you'll get an update from our Office of Legislative Affairs about that, as well as some other legislation involving consumer-related topics.

you'll from And then hear Jesse Jachman who is the designated federal officer of Precision Connectivity Agriculture, the Agriculture Connectivity the Precision They just kicked off recently, so he'll Force. provide description of what precision а

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

agriculture is, its value to consumers, and an 1 overview of the task force mission. 2 3 And then the Wireline Competition Bureau will give you an overview of the FCC's 4 proposed three-year, \$100 million Connected Care 5 6 Telehealth Pilot Program. 7 After that, Kristi Thompson, the chief of our Telecommunications Consumer Division in 8 9 the Enforcement Bureau will give you an update on 10 and other consumer, current consumer scams 11 related EB activities. 12 And then finally this afternoon, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau will cover the 13 14 FCC's recent NPRM proposing some changes to our Universal Licensing System, ULS, including that 15 16 all filings be made electronically. 17 also look forward And Ι to the recommendation that you'll be considering this 18 19 afternoon regarding consumer education 20 surrounding the implementation of SHAKEN and STIR caller ID authentication. 21

I certainly appreciate all of the hard

1	work you've done thus far and all of the hard
2	work yet to come. We appreciate the CAC, the CAC
3	members, the CAC members' time, and the CAC
4	members' input. It really matters as we work
5	together to protect consumers.
6	So, as we've done in prior CAC
7	meetings, we will, I'll introduce some of the
8	deputies, deputy bureau chiefs, and our associate
9	bureau chief to give you an overview of their
10	areas of responsibility, kind of what's been
11	going on lately in those areas. So we'll start
12	it off with Mark Stone who is the deputy bureau
13	chief overseeing our Consumer Policy Division.
14	MR. STONE: Good morning.
15	CHAIR POCIASK: Good morning.
16	MR. STONE: I would say on the topic
17	of self-driving cars, having a daughter who just
18	got her driver's license
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. STONE: the idea of self-
21	driving cars sounds great. On the other hand,
22	riding a motorcycle myself, I'm curious whether

those same sort of ideas apply to motorcycles and whether that's even a possibility, so I look forward to that.

Good morning. Since your last meeting in September, my area of CGB has been busy on robocalls just as you have. As you know, the Commission in June made clear that voice providers can block calls that are likely to be illegal based on the reasonable analytics.

They do that before those sort of calls can ever reach consumer phones, and they can now do it by default, giving consumers the ability to opt out if they decide they don't want that type of blocking.

In that same action, the Commission asked if it should allow providers, voice service providers to block calls on other grounds, including incorporation of caller ID authentication metrics into their analysis.

That proceeding, as you might imagine, has garnered a considerable record, and we're working through those issues now and look forward

to the next steps.

Now, that call blocking work, of course, focuses on stopping illegal calls before they ever reach consumer phones. If they do reach consumer phones, we have the Telephone Consumer Protection Act or TCPA, which we administer to address those types of calls and faxes.

So we continue to work through really big picture TCPA issues that apply to virtually all callers, but we also now have turned our attention to individual petitions that often tee up more discrete individualized questions.

And over the last week, our bureau has released two such decisions, one related to the names that businesses may use when they leave prerecorded messages on consumer phones.

That name is particular important because it lets the called party, the called consumer understand who has called, and lets them know who to contact if they want to make a do not call request or revoke previous consent to

receive that call.

Our second decision related to faxes and how the TCPA's fax restrictions apply to modern technologies that don't resemble the old fax machines.

So those are just two things that we've done recently, and we know there's a number of other pending petitions before us, and we know that consumers, and callers, and faxers alike are looking forward to our guidance on that, so we're working on that.

We'll also continue our work on the Reassigned Numbers Database. You may recall that the North American Numbering Council is advising us on the details of that database, and we look forward to getting their recommendations on it, and then we, along with our colleagues and some of the other bureaus, will be putting that out for public comment, so that's something for you all to keep your eye on.

So, that's it. That's the thumbnail from where I'm at in CGB, and I'll hand it over

1 to my colleague. 2 CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you. So next, 3 we have Barbara Esbin. Good morning. 4 MS. ESBIN: Mark is Ιf people 5 modest. there's one word that 6 associate with our bureaus, it's robocalls, and 7 that is the man. So, I think, as you know by this time, 8 9 I oversee the governmental affairs portion of the bureau's work, the Office of Intergovernmental 10 11 Affairs and the Office of Native Affairs and 12 Policy. 13 So this past quarter has been 14 extremely busy for both of my groups. ONAP, in conjunction with other FCC bureaus and offices, 15 has continued the Commission's outreach to tribes 16 17 organizations, including tribal tribal workshops in Albuquerque, New Mexico and 18 19 in Blue Lake, California. If you don't know 20 where Blue Lake is, it's in Humboldt County, a 21 rather remote little reservation there.

Commission

And

the

22

these

uses

workshops to provide presentations on a broad range of important agency programs and policies that support the deployment of communications' infrastructure and services in Indian Country.

Recent and upcoming events have primarily focused on the recently recreated Rural Tribal Priority Window for new licenses in the 2.5 gigahertz band, which has the potential to significantly increase the deployment and adoption of modern communication services on unserved and underserved tribal lands.

ONAP, together with its colleagues in the Wireline and Wireless Bureaus, made several presentations at a September National Tribal Broadband Summit, which was sponsored by the Departments of the Interior and Education and the Institute for Museum and Library Services here in D.C. Chairman Pai delivered keynote remarks at this event as well.

ONAP staff also participated in and presented at external events held by external tribal organizations, including the Internet

Society's Indigenous Connectivity Webinar in Hilo, Hawaii. Actually, Patrick Webre flew the flag for the bureau at that event, self-sacrifice that he made.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The TribalNet conference MS. ESBIN: Nashville, joint ONAP in Tennessee and and Wireless Bureau outreach efforts surrounding 2.5 gigahertz have including various workshops and tribal events, including addressing the FCC's Advisory Committee Intergovernmental and participating number of intertribal in а organization events, including the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians' annual convention, Federation of Natives' Alaska corporation, National Congress of the American Indian, Alaska Telecom Association tech showcase, our FCC Native Nations Communications Task Force meeting.

We had a 2.5 gigahertz workshop on tribal lands in Gallup, New Mexico, the National Tribal GIS Conference Albuquerque, and a Bureau of Indian Affairs tribal providers conference in

Anchorage, Alaska. We're definitely the traveling group, but that's not all.

In terms of policy work, ONAP staff prepared and issued a public notice seeking comment on the effectiveness of the Commission's tribal engagement guidance which seeks to facilitate dialogue between tribes and carriers, receiving high cost USF support for service on tribal lands.

ONAP also oversees the work of the Native Nations Communications Task Force. The task force met here in November, its second inperson meeting of the year, and at that time, the members finalized and adopted their first report to the Commission which identifies obstacles to greater broadband deployment and adoption tribal lands, and offers potential some solutions.

The task force has now turned to its second task which was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Commission's tribal engagement guidance, offer us recommendations,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

best practices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

IGA has also been quite busy in the past few months, attending and participating in and presenting at a variety of national, state, and local government organization meetings.

I'll read the acronyms. If you don't know what one is, stop me. Otherwise, it will take a very long time. There's NATOA, NASCIO, NARUC, NASUCA, NLC, NAAG, and NCSL. That really covers the waterfront on national, state, and local organizations.

And like ONAP, IGA oversees the administration of the Intergovernmental Advisory Committee, the IAC. The IAC had its final meeting of its term here in September, and it adopted four reports, making recommendations to the Commission on how to identify state, local, and tribal regulatory barriers and incentives to telemedicine, best practices to ensure that non-English speaking communities receive emergency alerts, best practices to fine-tune state, local, and tribal coordination for disaster preparation,

1	response, and restoration efforts, best practices
2	for communications between state emergency
3	managers and EAS state emergency communications
4	committees to ensure that EAS procedures,
5	including the initiation and cancellation of
6	actual alerts and tests, are mutually understood.
7	And my final message is that the
8	Commission has reauthorized the Intergovernmental
9	Advisory Committee for another two-year term.
10	We're currently actively seeking nominations from
11	state, local, and tribal governments to serve on
12	this committee, and I encourage you to go out
13	into your constituent worlds and encourage good
14	public servants to apply to work on our advisory
15	committee. So, any questions?
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, oh, we can get
17	questions too at the end, after all the speakers
18	
19	MS. ESBIN: Sure.
20	CHAIR POCIASK: So, thank you,
21	Barbara. I appreciate that. So, Diane Burstein,
22	I guess you're up.

1	MS. BURSTEIN: Thank you.
2	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, we can get
3	questions too at the end of all of this.
4	MS. BURSTEIN: Great.
5	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you, Diane.
6	MS. BURSTEIN: Sure, good morning.
7	I'm Diane Burstein and I am deputy bureau chief
8	of CGB overseeing the Disability Rights Office,
9	and I recognize many of you from that role.
10	I'm happy to be here today to
11	highlight some of the key things that the
12	Disability Rights Office has been working on
13	since your last meeting in September.
14	We've taken several steps to advance
15	the foundation of the Telecommunications Relay
16	Services or TRS, which provides services for
17	individuals with hearing or speech disabilities
18	so they can engage in communications in a manner
19	functionally equivalent to an individual who does
20	not have a hearing or speech disability.
21	So, in general, on September 18, the
22	FCC adopted an item to modernize the TRS rules by

updating the Commission's definition of TRS to match the definition in the 21st Century Communications and Accessibility Act of 2010, the CVAA, and issued a further notice of proposed rulemaking looking to eliminate some outdated rules relating to equal access and multiple billing options requirements in light of changes in the marketplace.

Just a few weeks ago, in November, the Commission adopted a report in order to expand the TRS Fund contribution base for support of IP CTS, Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service, lots of acronyms in this area.

As you may know, IP CTS is a form of TRS that permits a person who can speak, but has difficult hearing over the phone, to use a phone and an IP-enabled device via the internet to simultaneously listen to the other party and read captions of what the party is saying.

Prior to the ruling, IP CTS was funded based only in interstate telecommunications revenues. In this recent order, the Commission

provides that TRS Fund contributions to support IP CTS will be calculated based on the total interstate and intrastate and user revenues of each telecommunications carrier and VOIP service provider.

This puts the fund for IP CTS on a solid footing, and the new funding approach will start with the 2020 and '21 TRS Fund year.

Also in the IP CTS area, the FCC is examining comments filed earlier this year on whether to grant applications from certain providers to begin offering IP CTS solely using automatic speech recognition, ASR technology.

Currently, IP CTS is typically provided through a combination of ASR and a person sitting in the middle of the call to revoice the conversation. The applications are seeking to provide IP CTS using ASR only.

With respect to the Video Relay Service, on October 30, CGB released an order extending the VRS at-home call handling pilot program through April 30 of next year or the

effective date of an FCC decision on its notice of proposed rulemaking proposing to make this pilot program permanent.

The pilot program permits certified VRS providers to use sign language interpreters working from home workstations so long as certain rules are followed to safeguard service quality, call confidentiality, and to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse.

In October, the Commission also adopted a notice of proposed rulemaking to update its suspension and debarment rules, and apply these rules to TRS programs and the National Deaf-Blind Equipment Distribution Program.

Specifically, the notice proposes to adopt new rules consistent with OMB's guidance for government-wide debarment and suspension to keep fraudsters away from programs like this, and comments are being -- the comment date for that has not yet been issued.

DRO has also been active on areas related to the accessibility of video

programming. In October, the FCC released its second report to Congress on video description as required by the CVAA.

The CVAA was designed to help ensure that individuals with disabilities can fully engage in communication services and equipment, and better access video programming.

The October report examines various aspects of video description, including the amount and types of described video programming, consumer usage and benefits, the costs of creating described video programming, and the need for additional described programming.

DRO in this area is also reviewing comments that were filed this fall relating to a petition submitted by representatives of the disability community on caption quality issues, including the use of ASR for captioning live programming.

Finally, the Disability Advisory

Committee is scheduled to meet in February of

next year where we'll be taking up additional

1	issues of interest to consumers.
2	These are the key highlights, and
3	thanks for your time this morning.
4	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you, Diane.
5	MS. BURSTEIN: Sure.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, and we have one
7	more here for Ed. Here he is. Good to see you.
8	MR. BARTHOLME: Good morning, everyone.
9	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Good morning.
10	MR. BARTHOLME: So, I want to start by
11	echoing some of the thank yous that you've heard
12	earlier today.
13	We appreciate the time that you give
14	to this Committee and the FCC, as well as the
15	pace that you have been working to provide us
16	with useful recommendations on some important
17	consumer protection issues.
18	And I too look forward to your
19	recommendation later, or upcoming later today on
20	caller ID authentication and SHAKEN and STIR
21	education.

A couple of quick highlights. So, as

1	you probably know by now, I work with our
2	Complaints Division here, our Web and Print
3	Publishing, or as I like to call them Education
4	Division, and our Outreach Division at the
5	Commission.
6	Our Complaints Division recently
7	signed a new call center contract that's now been
8	awarded. So, Gettysburg is not going anywhere.
9	There will still be people there
10	taking phone calls directly from consumers and
11	helping them navigate FCC issues.
12	We're also about to hit the five year
13	anniversary of our online Consumer Complaint
14	Center later this month. It actually sort of
15	soft launched on Halloween a little over five
16	years ago.
17	And it fully launched, I believe
18	December 20 something five years ago. James
19	Brown, who you've heard from many times is the
20	sort of lead in keeping that together. And we
21	appreciate his efforts.

And many CAC members provided valuable

1	input as that program was developed five years
2	ago. You know, candidly I was one of the CAC
3	members. So that's a little bit of a pat on my
4	own back, at the time.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. BARTHOLME: But many of the rest
7	of you provided input as well too.
8	And five years is a milestone worth
9	noting. And we do have some things in the works
10	for the coming months. So stay tuned on that
11	front.
12	On the Education front, you heard from
13	our expert on 5G already this morning. And
14	you've probably seen the commercials.
15	And research is showing that consumers
16	are a little bit confused about 5G. As Julie
17	mentioned we can help clear up that confusion.
18	As a start, we'll be launching a 5G
19	consumer FAQ soon. It will be part of a package
20	of content designed to highlight the evolution of
21	wireless communications.

In addition to the online FAQ, we plan

tip card and develop some outreach 1 а materials around understanding what are the G's. 2 3 Other recent posts that we've done, for holiday travel, 4 just in time we did a 5 consumer alert about juice jacking. It doesn't 6 involve steroids at all. 7 using public charging Ιt involves So you see the little USB things in the ports. 8 9 airport and other places. You stick it in. 10 Scammers being an innovative lot have 11 figured out how to load malware into those. How 12 to get information off your devices. Or even put programs onto your devices simply by you plugging 13 14 in and charging. 15 Our top tip on that is, just carry the 16 wall outlet thing. Most of them are pretty 17 And plug it into a wall outlet and you small. should be fine. 18 19 There are other innovative products 20 that can also help protect you. Some have very 21 intriguing names. I encourage you look into that 22 on your own.

did 1 We а recent post on open 2 enrollment season scams. So, phone calls are up. 3 Email data showing that healthcare related calls was one of the top -- was the top issue for their 4 October report. 5 6 And we've got some great 7 education around some of the things that scammers are trying when it comes to open enrollment 8 9 issues. 10 We have more scam posts to come. 11 looking to do more with data we're 12 Including some data visualizations that content. 13 might highlight some of the scam information 14 we're seeing. 15 Earlier this fall we released our 16 second in-house animated video. And it was on emergency communications. 17 It features a great character called 18 19 Moe. I encourage all of you to check it out. 20 it goes through the same tips that But, include in our audio PSAs and on our consumer 21

education portal, FCC.gov/emergency.

Things like charging your device when 1 you know a storm is coming. Making sure that you 2 3 have emergency contacts identified in your phone. Non-emergency numbers so you can call the local 4 sheriff instead 5 of 911, depending on 6 situation and what the need that you have is. 7 did provide Spanish translation support for outage reports during 8 our the California wild fires and tropical storm Dorian 9 10 earlier this year. 11 A fun fact, our consumer guides web 12 page monthly growth is up 5 percent from June to So, we're happy that we're getting 13 November. 14 increased traffic. More people are coming and checking out the great materials we have. 15 16 Along those lines, earlier --17 sorry, in November we launched a download-ables So you can now download pdf versions of 18 page. 19 all our tip cards and use them to support your 20 efforts. 21 As I like to say, you've already paid 22 for them through your tax dollars. So, you're

welcome to grab them. You're welcome to use the 1 2 tips. 3 You're welcome to take the cards and personalize them in a way that you -- that makes 4 sense for you. But they're all now up there. 5 6 And can be downloaded. And please make use of 7 them. We recently redesigned our Outreach 8 9 So if you go to FCC.gov/outreach, you web page. 10 can see that new fresh look. And you know, kind 11 poke around and find some good materials 12 there. 13 The last time we were together we 14 talked about how we were working to get Hmong 15 versions of a lot of our content. We now have 16 that available. 17 we're working We with our 18 supermarket chain partner in California to get 19 those distributed. We've sent it to partners in 20 Minnesota and other parts of the country where 21 there are pockets of Hmong.

And Bohdan just reminded me that we

1	owe Milwaukee some as well. Because there's some
2	Hmong population in that part of the country
3	also.
4	As the Chairman mentioned earlier,
5	partnership are important for our robocall work.
6	And also all of our outreach and education work.
7	We launched monthly partner calls in
8	September. And those have quickly transitioned
9	to a webinar format.
10	Our December webinar was yesterday.
11	And included a guest presenter from the National
12	Cyber Security Alliance's Stop, Think, Connect
13	initiative, with a focus on holiday consumer
14	protection tips.
15	Last month we were guests on an FTC
16	Facebook live that focused on military and
17	veteran consumer topics.
18	We have a few guides that are focused
19	on if you're being deployed overseas. You know,
20	what can you do with your cell phone contract.
21	Things like that.
22	We're working with USAC to do some

outreach and education around 1 t.he National Verifier that's rolling out across the country. 2 3 We've gone some national presentations at NAG's Conference, which is the -- our partner for the 4 5 supermarket program. We exhibited at the Wisconsin State 6 7 Broadcasters Association Conference, the Association of Late Deafened Adults Conference, 8 9 presented at FOSI's Conference on just a couple 10 of weeks ago here in D.C. 11 Did a grand opening of a new Operation 12 Hope Center in Baltimore. And they're a great 13 partner for us too in getting the word out about robocalls and other consumer issues. 14 15 We've also done a lot of good local 16 We were able to participate in the HUD 17 ConnectHome Summit. And we're really excited about 18 19 potential partnership there. Because what 20 found is that the sort of housing leaders and the

admin structure in HUD facilities is a really

great consumer touch point.

21

They're who the people living in those 1 buildings go to with questions and with problems. 2 3 So, getting tip cards, getting information in those offices, is a great way to inform a group 4 5 that may not always hear from, you know, the 6 content that we've got out there. 7 Rural tours. As you know, I like to tell about when we go places. So in 8 you 9 September we were in Kansas and Nebraska. And as the Chairman mentioned, we were able to do an 10 event with the Chairman in Nebraska. 11 12 We did 17 public meetings. We did Got some great local media 13 nine drop offs. Covered hundreds of miles. 14 coverage. something called a Runza, which is a fast food 15 16 place in Nebraska. 17 In both states we were able to have events with representatives from the State AG 18 19 office State Attorney General's office 20 participating. 21 big thank you to AARP for 22 helping us to plan and coordinate the consumer

facing event that we did with the Chairman in 1 2 Freemont, Nebraska. Great turn out. Really good 3 Really nice panel discussion in addition 4 to some great remarks. Upcoming rural tours. 5 So, we are 6 actively planning Arizona and New Mexico in 7 January 2020. So that's coming up quickly. The one after that will be Arkansas 8 Louisiana in March. So, if 9 and you have 10 contacts, if you have members or partners in 11 those states, please let us know. We'd be happy 12 to work with you on a joint event. 13 Another thing that falls into our 14 outreach category are actually is 504 our compliance officer and the interpreters. 15 16 So, we're really want to just sav 17 thank you to everybody who provided comments in the recent 504 proceeding where, you know, 18 19 sort of put out a refresh of the -- right. And 20 got some feedback on what we're doing well and 21 what we're not doing well.

Really appreciated the good feedback

And the kind remarks that were said about the great interpreter staff that we have here at the FCC. Coming up next, we are launching soon, working towards getting together public facing outreach toolkits. So the concept here is that librarian, any you know, local senior center or other community serving institution could come to the FCC website and download everything they would need to do a presentation in their building to their audience on things like robocalls, rescanning your television for the repack, or any other relevant consumer issue. So, hopefully those are going to be up and ready in the spring. That's our goal. I'll probably be back here to tell you about it when it's there. Also, keep an eye on our social media

consumer education posts. Moe from the Emergency

Communications video may make an appearance

We're planning some holiday themed

platforms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	some of those.
2	And stay in touch if you and if
3	you're not already in touch, please reach out.
4	If you have events we can support, let us know.
5	Happy to participate.
6	And if you have ideas about education
7	topics, we're always willing to hear those and to
8	work with you.
9	So, thank you.
10	CHAIR POCIASK: Well, thanks Ed. So,
11	we had a good update on the issues of outreach
12	and scamming and complaints. And we heard from
13	the other speakers on various topics of
14	disability and travel issues, and so on.
15	So, does anyone have any questions
16	here before we break, regarding what we've just
17	heard from, from the Consumer and Governmental
18	Affairs Bureau, before they leave?
19	So, please? Debra?
20	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Yeah. Debbie
21	Berlyn, National Consumers League. I have a
22	question for Ed.

1	I was just wondering, when you were
2	talking about the scams and work on that, I was
3	wondering if you coordinate with the FTC, who
4	would also have an interest in some of these same
5	campaigns and issues?
6	MR. BARTHOLME: So, we do. And I
7	think, you know, the spoofing work that we did
8	over the past year was heavily coordinated with
9	them.
10	The Facebook live that we did on
11	military and veteran's issues is another example
12	where there's coordination.
13	We do work with our colleagues over
14	there. We have good dialog about the things that
15	we're working on, that they're working on.
16	I don't we don't fully sort of like
17	say, you're going to do this on Wednesday and
18	we're going to do this on Thursday kind of thing.
19	But there is a good back and forth.
20	And I think, like you said, we have
21	joint goals when it comes to scam and fraud
22	education on protecting consumers.

1	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Any other
2	questions? Anyone here from the Bureau? Please,
3	Johnny?
4	MEMBER KAMPIS: Ed, when you say
5	consumers are confused about 5G roll out, I'm
6	curious what kind of things they are confused
7	about?
8	MR. BARTHOLME: So, what we've seen in
9	some recent research is that, you know, I think
10	that there's a little bit of misunderstanding
11	about where we're at in the deployment cycle.
12	So, one thing that I saw in an article
13	recently is that a lot of consumers think that
14	the latest version of an Apple product already
15	has 5G built into it. Like that's just an
16	assumption that's being made.
17	So, you know, it's you see the
18	commercials. You kind of see the products coming
19	out there.
20	And I think that there's maybe a
21	misunderstanding about how ubiquitous it
22	currently is. And also, our focus is really to

1	make sure that consumers have relevant
2	information so that they can make, you know,
3	informed purchasing decisions so that when
4	they're out there considering, you know, do I
5	upgrade now, do I wait a few months to upgrade,
6	they know what it is.
7	So, for example, one of the things in
8	our frequently asked questions guide is my home
9	Wi-Fi router says 5G in the title. Does that
10	mean I already have 5G, right?
11	So it's clearing up things like that.
12	It's a diff that's a different type of
13	technology. It's not the same as the cellular 5G
14	that we've been talking about this morning.
15	So just making sure that there's a
16	good consumer base line of knowledge. It's not
17	meant to be anywhere near as technical as the
18	information Julie provided to you today.
19	We're not going to talk about which
20	waves travel further than others. And then
21	things like that.
22	(Laughter.)

1	MR. BARTHOLME: That's not really a
2	consumer touch point. But there does seem to be
3	some things out there.
4	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Last
5	chance. Any other questions here or on the phone
6	for anyone here at the bureau? Okay. So, thank
7	you. Thanks to all of you.
8	(Applause.)
9	CHAIR POCIASK: And we'll take
10	we're going to take a short break. So let's try
11	to get back here in, you know, 10:35.
12	So, let's make a quick break. Thank
13	you.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
15	went off the record at 10:27 a.m. and resumed at
16	10:40 a.m.)
17	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. We're going to
18	start back up here in just a couple of seconds.
19	We have a video with from Commissioner
20	O'Rielly.
21	So, what we're going to do is we're
22	just going to take a moment and go through and

1 hear the message that he's given here for the CAC today. 2 3 All right. Let's get that moving. All right. Here's the video. 4 5 (Video played.) 6 COMMISSIONER O'RIELLY: Good morning 7 and welcome back to the hardworking members of the Consumer Advisory Committee. I apologize for 8 not being able to address you personally, but I 9 10 have a prior speaking engagement that has kept me 11 from meeting with you in person today. 12 First of all, let me extend my thanks to the members of the CAC. I have said this 13 14 before, but sincerely, thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules and spending time away 15 16 from your families to serve this important 17 function. The Commission relies on public input 18 19 to make the most informed decisions possible. 20 And the CAC serves to channel this critically 21 sentiment of American important and views

consumers on key policy matters.

I truly value your contributions to 1 the FCC's process, even if I may not always agree 2 3 each and every substantive suggestion recommendation. 4 In terms of the work facing the CAC 5 right now, the agenda for today's meeting wasn't 6 finalized at the time of this taping, but I 7 suspect it involves a healthy dose of robocalls 8 and discussions of 5G, telehealth, and future 9 10 projects for the CAC. The varied membership of the CAC will 11 12 have a world of views on these issues and others. 13 I hope you keep two thoughts in mind as you go about your work today and until we can next meet 14 15 in person. 16 First, Ι consider one of your 17 priorities to be looking out for the paying There are tons of groups and advocates 18 public. 19 all looking to spend more USF monies, or managing 20 this or that initiative. 21 Yet no one is designated to look out 22 for the average Americans. The ones struggling

to get by in the improving but ever complex 1 2 economy. 3 These are the people working hard to their families. To 4 feed keep their communications service bills paid and up to date. 5 6 They do not have high-powered lobbyist 7 advocating on their behalf. Every extra dollar taken from their household budget means something 8 9 else has to give. 10 And every new burden imposed on the 11 communications industry is passed onto 12 consumers in one form or another through higher costs, fewer services, decreased access and the 13 like. 14 15 consider important As you the 16 Commission matters before you, please keep this 17 You are charged with in front of your mind. advising the Commission on behalf of the American 18 19 consumer. 20 Second, please try to recognize the 21 unintended consequences of government 22 intervention and why FCC action needs to

narrowly tailored 1 to address the immediate 2 problems that have been identified. 3 Take for instance robocalls. Manv robocalls are beneficial to American consumers 4 5 and should not be halted or disrupted just 6 because bad actors are abusing the network. 7 Absolutely after we must qo the perpetrators of criminal activity and those 8 9 carrying out illegal consumer practices. 10 But no one should want to block 11 notifications, doctor pharmacy test results, 12 school closings, power disruptions, and so many other good legal robocalls as a result of overly 13 broad policy changes. 14 15 You see this exact point addressed in 16 new legislation on the Hill. And that's why I've 17 pushed so hard to ensure that the Commission is mindful of the far reaching impact 18 of its 19 actions, both positive and negative. 20 The CAC must do the same. If you keep 21 these two principles in mind, look out for the

mindful

of the

little

guy

and

be

22

reach

of

1	Commission actions, you'll do great service to
2	American consumers.
3	Thank you so very much for your
4	attentiveness. And I wish you well for the rest
5	of the day.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Okay.
7	Here we are. Okay, so now with that we're going
8	to, I guess back by popular demand, you just did
9	this the last time.
10	But, everyone was so interested in the
11	topic, we thought we should do this again and get
12	an update on the pending legislation.
13	So, today we have our presenter is
14	again, Lori Maarbjerg. She's the Chief of Staff
15	and Senior Attorney Advisor for the Office of
16	Legislative Affairs.
17	So Lori, let me just turn that over to
18	you.
19	MS. MAARBJERG: Great. It's so great
20	to be back with you. I guess a long time ago one
21	of my first bosses, I think he's a member of the
22	Committee, Barry Umansky, said something to me

1	once like no good deed goes unpunished.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. MAARBJERG: So, I don't think
4	Barry's here today though unfortunately.
5	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: He's on the phone.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: He's on the yeah,
7	he's on the line.
8	MS. MAARBJERG: Oh, see. There you
9	go. But I'm really glad to be here. Good
10	morning.
11	I do want to correct the record from
12	Ed's presentation. Obviously no one is from
13	Nebraska like me. But it's not a Runyon, it's a
14	Runza.
15	A Runza. It's a Runza. But, you all
16	should go to Nebraska and try one, because
17	they're very, very good.
18	But anyway. Moving on. So again,
19	it's great to be back. And I actually have
20	updates to give you.
21	So, Congress has been moving along
22	steadily. Slowly but steadily on certain aspects

of the things that I updated you on in September. 1 The main one being, of course, the 2 3 robocall bill. And so this is just kind of the slide that I had back in September that kind of 4 5 said this is what the Senate bill says. 6 what the House bill says. Just a quick recap. 7 They weren't too far off. The Senate bill implement STIR wanted 8 to SHAKE and Whereas the House bill originally 9 specifically. 10 was silent on the type of technology. both created 11 They an interagency working group. They both increased forfeitures 12 for intentional violations. They both increased 1.3 statute of limitations for intentional robocall 14 violations, but they did it in a different way. 15 16 From that point on in the bills, they So, there was different things between 17 differed. I won't run though those specifically 18 19 because neither one of these bills really matters anymore, because we have a compromise bill. 20 So, the staff had worked through the 21 22 different language, and they came up with a

1	compromise bill.
2	That bill passed last week in the
3	House overwhelmingly, 417 to 3. It was a vote
4	that they wanted to take just to show how much
5	support there is for this bill.
6	So, the major provision and again,
7	this is not everything that's contained in the
8	bill. This is my caveat. These are just some of
9	the things that are there.
10	If you really want to know, you should
11	read the bill yourself. It's only 44 pages long.
12	(Laughter.)
13	MS. MAARBJERG: Wait until we get to
14	the House one. I'll tell you all about that one.
15	That was a little bit longer.
16	But again, it generally adopts the
17	Senate language on STIR/SHAKEN. So, it decided
18	to they decided to go specifically with that
19	particular technology.
20	Part of the Senate language was that
21	the Commission is tasked with evaluating that
22	every three years and reporting to Congress. So

if there's changes or things that need to be done 1 differently, there is a mechanism for them to 2 3 tell Congress. Retains the language that creates the 4 interagency working group. So that was similar 5 6 and that was the same in both, so they kept that 7 in there. 8 Ιt adopts the Senate language 9 increase forfeitures for intentional violations. 10 And it removes the statutory citation 11 requirements. 12 So currently a citation has to be 13 given before you can enforce. But they removed that for intentional robocall violations. 14 15 the Senate language adopts 16 retain the statute of limitations as opposed to 17 the House language, which was a little bit more 18 broad. 19 So, it retains the statute of 20 limitations for one year for general violations. But if it's an intentional robocall violation, 21 22 it has a four year statute of limitations.

Now this is something that originally 1 the House bill had three years. And now we have 2 3 a four year, potentially a four year statute of limitations for intentional robocall violations. 4 It also adopted the House language to 5 increase the statute of limitation for truth in 6 7 caller ID violations from two years to 8 years. 9 Retains the House language 10 annual report to Congress on enforcement. 11 key provision of that, it does not, the language does not allow the FCC to collect additional 12 information from providers when it has to make 13 14 that report. 15 retains the House language 16 require an annual robocall report to Congress on 17 privately led efforts to trace back the origins 18 of unlawful robocalls. 19 It maintains the House language that 20 requires the FCC to establish a process 21 streamline voluntary information sharing with the

FCC.

1 It retains the House language that requires the FCC to take final action within one 2 year of an act meant on free robocall blocking 3 services. 4 5 It retains the House language that 6 requires the FCC to start proceeding -- to start 7 a proceeding on one ring scams within 120 days of And report to Congress within one 8 enactment. 9 year. 10 And it also retains the House language 11 requires the creation of advisory that an 12 committee called the Hospital Robocall Protection 13 Group. So those are the major provisions. 14 15 Like I said, there's a lot in this bill that you 16 can take a look for yourself. 17 The Senate wants to pass this. It's 18 unclear when they're going to get around to it. 19 So, they're working really hard to figure out a 20 time. 21 Thune Senator has been quoted 22 wanted to get it done this week. If it doesn't

happen this week, they have next week. 1 And then we'll see from there. 2 The 3 end of the year doesn't really mean anything. We'll just move into the next session of this 4 5 Congress and they can take it up in January if 6 they need to. But they do want to get it done as 7 soon as they can. Privacy bills. I think when I was 8 9 last here with you in September, we talked about how the Senate wanted to have a bill soon. 10 11 We have two bills. And now SO 12 competing measures. So again, most of this year on the Senate side, the Democrats and Republicans 13 have been trying to work together to come up with 14 15 a bipartisan bill that they could all support. 16 Ultimately we now have a bill that's 17 introduced by Senator Cantwell, Klobuchar, and Markey. It was introduced last 18 19 It's been referred to the Senate Commerce week. 20 Committee. 21 It would require covered entities to 22 provide individuals with the right to

transparency regarding privacy policies, a right 1 to delete, to correct, to control individual 2 3 information. And a right to data security. So, CEs are defined as any person or 4 5 entity that is subject to the FTC act, or 6 possesses or transfers covered data. 7 But there is an exclusion that for any entity that falls within the Act's definition of 8 9 small business. So there is some specific things that 10 11 you would have to meet. And then those entities 12 would be excluded from these provisions. So, covered entities are not allowed 13 14 to process or transfer covered data beyond what's reasonably necessary and limited to specific 15 16 purposes that they've -- and where thev've 17 obtained express, affirmative consent. CEs would not be allowed to condition 18 19 a provision of a service or product 20 individual agreement to waive privacy rights. 21 So, you can't say, I'll give you something extra

if you waive your rights.

And these provisions would supersede any state law in direct conflict, but not to be construed to limit any standing state or federal law. There's some issues with regards to a privacy law that's going into effect in California soon.

This bill also would require CEs to designate at least one privacy officer and at least one data security officer.

And then some of them would have to

And then some of them would have to certify annually to the FTC that they maintain adequate controls and that they're complying with the Act.

This bill is significant in that it provides individuals with a private right of action. It provides the FTC and state's attorney generals with enforcement powers.

Requires the FTC to establish a privacy bureau within two years of enactment that is dedicated to privacy, data security, and other related issues. And gives the FTC some rule making authority to establish processes for opt

identify privacy protection 1 out, and to requirements for biometric information. 2 3 In comparison, we have a draft bill from Chairman Wicker. He has not introduced this 4 5 yet, but he did release the text around the same time that Senator Cantwell introduced her bill. 6 7 Again, it would require covered entities to publish a privacy policy and make 8 9 available, make that available to individuals. 10 In this case, CEs are defined as any 11 person who operates in, or affects interstate or 12 foreign commerce. There is a -- that provides 13 for right to delete, correct, and the 14 portability of covered data. 15 But those -- that particular provision 16 not apply to CEs that meet the bill's 17 definition of small business. It's the definition in both of the bills. So this 18 19 obviously is something that they had worked out, 20 you know, working on it earlier. 21 CEs are not allowed to process

transfer covered data beyond what's reasonably

necessary without obtaining express, affirmative 1 individual. 2 consent from the Again, this 3 provision in this bill specifically does not apply to those CEs that meet the definition of 4 small business. 5 6 It requires CEs to designate at least 7 privacy officer least and at one data security officer. And they have to register with 8 9 the FTC each year if they acted as a data broker. 10 it provides FTC and state's Again, 11 attorney generals with enforcement power. So 12 there is no private right of action in Republican bill. That's the major difference. 13 14 It preempts all state law. That's 15 another difference. And supersedes any other 16 federal law. 17 requires FTC Ιt the to issue guidelines on best practices for CEs to comply 18 19 with data collection minimization requirements. 20 And it requires the FTC to issue guidance to CEs 21 identifying to assist with and assessing

vulnerabilities in data security.

It gives the FTC the ability to issue 1 regulations on procedures for allowing consumer -2 3 - customers to provide and withdraw consent. requirements for handling 4 CEs when data 5 verification requests. 6 Those are requirements, but on 7 hand it only allows -- it allows, doesn't require the FTC to establish regulations 8 9 regarding privacy standards for the transfer of sensitive biometric information. 10 11 There's some reporting requirements 12 for the FTC in the Republican bill. To submit to 13 Congress an annual report in enforcement, 14 algorithm transparency study and report within 15 three years, and a biannual digital content 16 forgery reports. 17 So, those are the major things, the 18 major provisions of the Senate bills. 19 we'll see in the new year if they start to take 20 up this issue and work towards trying to come to 21 agreement on some of these issues.

Again, on the House side, we -- last

time around we had a few bills that had been 1 introduced by various members, but not one that 2 3 introduced by the Energy and Commerce was Subcommittee on consumer protection. 4 And we still don't have a bill from 5 6 that particular Subcommittee. They -- reports 7 are that they're working on something. bill 8 Instead we have a that was 9 introduced Representative by Eshoo and Zoe 10 Lofgren, both members from California. The 11 Online Privacy Act of 2019. 12 I would encourage you to Now, 13 this bill too. But it is 132 pages. So, that might take you a bit to get through it. 14 15 So, I condensed it down a little bit 16 to five bullets. So obviously there's a lot of 17 things in this bill that are not covered by this 18 summary. 19 But the major provisions, again, 20 rather than kicking this to the FTC, this bill 21 would create a new independent agency called the 22 Digital Privacy Agency.

1 And that would be charged with enforcing privacy rights and have authority to 2 3 hire up to 1,600 full time equivalent employees. It would provide individuals with the 4 5 to access, correct, delete and transfer To be informed if a CE has collected 6 information. And to choose how long the data can 7 be kept. 8 9 Covered entities are required, 10 again, this is a -- there's a lot longer list. 11 These are some of the major ones. They would be 12 required to establish the need and -- the need 13 for and minimize the user data they collect, 14 process, disclose, and maintain. 15 They are not allowed to disclose or without 16 sell personal information explicit 17 CEs would have to use objectively consent. understanding privacy policies 18 and 19 processes. 20 And they have to employ reasonable 21 cyber security policies. And then they have a 22 notification requirements to the DPA if there

1	were any breaches or data sharing abuses.
2	The DPA would have the authority to
3	issue regulations to implement the provisions in
4	the bill and to issue fines for violations. It's
5	the same amount as the FTC, \$42,530 per incident.
6	State's attorney generals can bring
7	civil actions under this language. It does
8	provide an individual right to sue for
9	declaratory or injunctive relief.
10	And it does allow individuals to sue
11	for damages. But it does not allow them for
12	class action suits.
13	And that's it. Easy.
14	(Laughter.)
15	MS. MAARBJERG: Easy stuff. Again,
16	the FCC is really not involved in the privacy
17	side of things. But, we're happy to track it and
18	keep you all updated on it.
19	CHAIR POCIASK: Let me ask you a
20	couple of quick questions. So that House bill
21	you just looked through on privacy by the two
22	California legislators, it doesn't preempt the

1	bill that they have right now, do they? In
2	California?
3	MS. MAARBJERG: No. No.
4	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. So that's
5	wrong. What about the algorithm transparency,
6	what is that related to?
7	MS. MAARBJERG: Oh, gosh. See now
8	you're asking me questions that I can't talk to.
9	CHAIR POCIASK: Is that like saying,
10	like a Google would have to be transparent with
11	its searches.
12	MS. MAARBJERG: I think it yeah.
13	So yeah, and how right. And how they're using
14	their algorithms to track and to keep track of
15	things.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay.
17	MS. MAARBJERG: Yeah.
18	CHAIR POCIASK: Were there any
19	questions in the room or on the phone?
20	MEMBER UMANSKY: Good morning. Hi,
21	this is Barry Umansky. I'm sorry, I
22	CHAIR POCIASK: Is that Barry?

1	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: That's Barry.
2	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. All right.
3	Barry, can you speak up a little?
4	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Yeah, we can't
5	hear you.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: Hey Barry, can you
7	speak up?
8	MEMBER UMANSKY: I appreciate it.
9	CHAIR POCIASK: Hey Barry?
10	MS. MAARBJERG: We'll never know.
11	Barry was my first boss out of law school.
12	CHAIR POCIASK: Hey Barry, are you
13	there? Can you speak up? We didn't get your
14	question.
15	MEMBER UMANSKY: Yeah. I'm here
16	Steve.
17	MS. MAARBJERG: I don't know if he's
18	hearing us.
19	CHAIR POCIASK: All right.
20	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: I have a very
21	just a oh sure. Sorry. I was just wondering
22	if we could get copies of those summaries of the

1	bills. It would be very helpful.
2	MS. MAARBJERG: Yeah. I think you all
3	have a copy of this.
4	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Oh, do we have
5	this in our
6	MS. MAARBJERG: I don't know if you
7	have it in your folder.
8	MS. CLEARWATER: I don't think it's in
9	the folder. But, we can certainly provide an
10	electronic copy and distribute it that way to
11	everyone.
12	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Were there any
14	other questions here or on the phone? Last call.
15	I guess we'll have you back soon enough, right?
16	(Laughter.)
17	MS. MAARBJERG: Probably, yeah. I am
18	now a regular?
19	CHAIR POCIASK: I guess so. Yeah,
20	we'll have we'll just give you a seat next
21	time. Thank you Lori.
22	MS. MAARBJERG: Sure. Thank you.

1	MEMBER UMANSKY: Yeah, hey Steve?
2	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes?
3	MEMBER UMANSKY: Can you hear me now?
4	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes. I can hear you.
5	MEMBER UMANSKY: Okay. I'll yeah, I
6	just wanted to point out that Lori, it was a
7	great presentation.
8	And at this instance, your good deed
9	is appreciated.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIR POCIASK: All right.
1.0	MS. MAARBJERG: Thank you.
12	The firm the first you.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry.
	_
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks.
13 14 15	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks. (Applause.)
13 14 15 16	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks. (Applause.) CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Let me
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks. (Applause.) CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Let me just reload this here. Yes, that we're going
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks. (Applause.) CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Let me just reload this here. Yes, that we're going with ag. Okay. So, next on our agenda here we
13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you Barry. Thanks. (Applause.) CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Let me just reload this here. Yes, that we're going with ag. Okay. So, next on our agenda here we have, our presenter is Jesse Jachman. He's the

1	So, yep, Jesse.
2	MR. JACHMAN: Okay, thank you. Good
3	morning everybody. So yes, I am my name is
4	Jesse Jachman. I am the DFO, or the Designated
5	Federal Officer for the Precision Ag Connectivity
6	Task Force, which has a longer name, which I'll
7	give you in a second.
8	And when I'm not doing my role as a
9	DFO for the task force, I am a legal advisor. I
10	was previously in the Telecommunications Access
11	Policy Division.
12	Now I'm in the front office of the
13	Wireline Competition Bureau as a legal advisor,
14	and working on all aspects of the universal
15	service fund.
16	So, before I get a little bit more
17	into the task force, I wanted to just talk a
18	little bit about what precision agriculture is.
19	I figured that would be a little bit useful.
20	And for that information, I pulled
21	some I pulled a document that's available

is made

available

online,

22

that

by

our

1 counterparts at the Department of Agriculture. And they have what's called a Case for 2 3 Rural Broadband. So, precision ag technology is 4 basically using technology to improve agricultural production. 5 6 Finding new ways or new technologies 7 and ways of working to combine to improve yields, reduce costs, improve labor efficiency, 8 increase revenues through greater market access. 9 10 couple of examples, So, 11 examples of precision ag technology are, you 12 know, GPS. You use GPS technology to guide or 13 steer your tractor in the field. 14 You can have sensors in the ground to things like soil quality, moisture 15 monitor 16 And also drones. You have drones that used 17 fly over large crop fields to identify pest problems and look at overall crop 18 19 health. 20 So, how are these technologies applied 21 to stages of agricultural management? So, as USDA describes here, there's stage one, which is 22

the planning stage. 1 And these aren't all my slides, but --2 3 CHAIR POCIASK: Oh, okay. Yeah. So, I just, you 4 MR. JACHMAN: 5 know, wanted to give some background this morning So you have data collection and 6 on these. 7 decision support to make better choices about what, where, and when to produce using data 8 prescriptions, 9 analytics, yield fertility 10 planning. 11 during the production stage, Then 12 precision agriculture also comes in. Monitoring 13 the growth cycle, managing inputs and optimizing 14 the products. Health and harvest, you're talking about real time censoring, automated harvesting, 15 16 things like that. finally, there's 17 then market And coordination. 18 This is stage three that 19 have. Creating access to new customers 20 channels. 21 Differentiating products and shaping 22 consumer preferences. This is online sales,

1	targeted advertising, and optimizing
2	distribution.
3	So, what are the societal benefits of
4	precision agriculture? In other words, you know,
5	how are these technologies going to benefit
6	consumers?
7	Well, a few things that are listed
8	here. Seven point five percent fewer people at
9	risk of hunger in developing countries. Two
10	seconds to trace food products using block chain
11	enabled records.
12	Forty percent less fuel burn. Lower
13	water usage or being more efficient with water,
14	20 to 50 percent or more. And up to 80 percent
15	reduction in chemical applications.
16	So, all these are kind of the benefits
17	of precision agriculture. But this doesn't
18	really work. In other words to realize the
19	benefits of precision agriculture we need
20	connectivity on agricultural lands.
21	So, that's kind of where the task
22	force comes in. And so the formal title of the

committee is the Task Force for Reviewing the

Connectivity and Technology Needs of Precision

Agriculture in the United States.

So essentially what the task force is

charged with is providing advice and recommendations to the FCC, to the USDA, and others on how to assess and advance the broadband internet deployment of access on unserved agricultural land in order to promote precision agriculture. In other words promote connectivity.

So this is actually a statutory committee. Congress directed the FCC in the 2018 Farm Bill. So this was a little less than a year ago, to establish a task force in consultation with USDA, the Department of Agriculture.

The task force is, just like they are subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act. And members have a two year term. And under the Statute the Federal Advisory Committee is going to run to the end of 2025.

So this is kind of just broad what the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	task force is assessed with. So it must access
2	the current state of broadband in agricultural
3	lands along with the broadband needs of precision
4	agriculture technologies and agricultural lands.
5	So the task force is required to
6	submit reports to the Chairman annually
7	consistent with Sections 125.11 of the 2018 Farm
8	Bill. So this is the section of the Farm Bill
9	directing the FCC to establish this task force.
10	And so this part this section of
11	the Farm Bill also lays out in detail what the
12	goals of the task force are.
13	So in addition to these reports that
14	are mandated in the Statute itself, the task
15	force is also going to produce reports that are
16	not expressly required by the bill, but are
17	consistent with the duties of the task force.
18	So if you look at the Statute there
19	are some duties and there are some reports. And
20	these are the things that the task force is going
21	to produce.

All these reports will be made public.

1	And the task force was actually officially
2	
۷	established just recently, December 4, 2019.
3	So, just a little bit about the makeup
4	of the task force. So, as your all aware,
5	membership balance plan is an important aspect of
6	any federal advisory committee.
7	You have to have diverse point of
8	views and everything like that. Fortunately for
9	us, this was laid out in the Statute for us.
10	So, we knew exactly the kind of
11	categories of people that were going to make up
12	this task force. And it's an interesting group
13	of people.
14	Very diverse and something that you
15	wouldn't typically think would be coming to the
16	FCC. So we've got to meet a really interesting
17	group in that respect.
18	So we had agricultural producers
19	representing diverse geographic areas, different
20	types of farms. Small farmers. An agricultural
21	producer representing tribal agriculture.
22	Internet service provider, fixed,

1	mobile. And infrastructure providers as well.
2	So you can think of tower companies.
3	Representatives of the electric coop
4	industry. Representatives of the satellite
5	industry. Equipment manufacturers, drone
6	manufacturers, any manufacturers that are
7	involved in precision ag technology.
8	Representatives from state and local
9	governments. And representatives with relevant
10	expertise in data, broadband mapping, geospatial
11	analysis and coverage mapping.
12	And again, fairly balanced in terms of
13	their viewpoints, technology, things of that
14	nature.
15	Now the Statute also does limit us to
16	15 members. So you can see we had a bit of work
17	to do on trying to figure out how do we get 15
18	members within that?
19	If you kind of add it up, it doesn't
20	really equal 15. But we were able to get 15
21	members representing these different areas.
22	In addition to those 15 members, we do

have one member of the task force, a USDA ex-1 officio non-voting member. So this person sits 2 3 on the task force representing the USDA. And then finally, a little bit about 4 5 the working groups, which we are in the process 6 of setting up, but we have announced what they 7 will be. The working groups will be the mapping 8 and analyzing connectivity on agricultural lands. 9 10 Examining the current and future connectivity 11 demand for precision agriculture. 12 Encouraging adoption of precision 13 agriculture and availability of high quality jobs 14 connected farms. And then finally, on accelerating broadband deployment on unserved 15 16 agricultural lands. 17 So, those are the four working groups that we have. So we actually just had our first 18 19 meeting earlier this week, on Monday. And the 20 members have been really excited to get started. 21 We are also in the process of standing

up these working groups. We have the chairs and

1	vice chairs of the working groups, which we
2	announced Friday of last week on the 6th.
3	And the FCC and USDA teams are
4	actively working to review applications to stand
5	up the working groups. And so that's where we
6	stand today.
7	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Do we have any
8	questions for Jesse? All right Matthew?
9	MEMBER GERST: Hey Matt Gerst with
10	CTIA. Thanks, this sounds like it's very, going
11	to be a very interesting task force.
12	Particularly with the announcement of
13	last week on the FIG fund, and how the Commission
14	maybe thinking about allocating funding for
15	agricultural events as well.
16	Two questions, when are the
17	applications for the working groups due?
18	MR. JACHMAN: So the applications for
19	the working groups were actually due December 3.
20	MR. GERST: So, that's closed.
21	MR. JACHMAN: They are. But, I think
22	we would be willing to entertain additional

1 applications. Okay. And how does the 2 MEMBER GERST: 3 -- can you help us understand how the Farm Bill defines agricultural lands, and opposed to just 4 5 general rural areas? 6 MR. JACHMAN: Yes. Well one thing 7 also about the working groups, if people had already applied but weren't selected, the will 8 9 also be in the running for the working groups. So in terms of the agricultural lands, 10 11 we're really -- so a lot of the things, I think, 12 the Statute themselves aren't necessarily answered in the Statute or defined. 13 And so that's -- a lot will be tasked 14 for the task force to do and the working groups 15 16 within there, are to kind of go out and figure know, what 17 what, you is does what agricultural lands mean? 18 19 So, those are things that we're 20 looking for the task force to find. I think -- I 21 don't have a good definition in front of me, but

this is something I think we would defer to USDA

1	on, as they are they keep a wealth of data and
2	information on agricultural lands, what's
3	cropped, what crops are planted where.
4	So, you can kind of think about it as
5	at least initially possibly taking their, USDA's
6	data on agricultural lands and somehow merging
7	that or overlaying data on broadband deployment.
8	And kind of getting initial sense on that, yeah.
9	But yes, those are all questions that
10	the task force will look at.
11	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Any other
12	questions here in the room or on the phone?
13	Okay, Jesse, thank you so much.
14	MR. JACHMAN: Thank you. Thank you
15	for having me.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: All right.
17	(Applause.)
18	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. So, next we're
19	going to get an update on the Connected Care
20	Grant Pilot Program.
21	And here to present is Rashann Duvall.
22	She's an attorney advisor with Telecom Access

1	Policy Division with the Wireline, not wireless,
2	Communications Bureau.
3	And with that, let me turn it over to
4	you.
5	MS. DUVALL: Hi, good morning
6	everyone. I'm glad to see so many familiar faces
7	in the room. I see you guys are learning a lot.
8	I've learned a little bit since I've been
9	sitting back here as well.
LO	As you mentioned, I'm Rashann Duvall.
L1	I'm an attorney advisor with the Wireline
L2	Competition Bureau Telecommunications Access
L3	Policy Division.
L4	And I'm pleased to be here today to
L5	give you a brief overview of the thank you,
L6	the notice of proposed rulemaking that the
L7	Commission adopted in July for a Connected Care
L8	Pilot Program.
L9	Many of you may already be familiar
20	with this proceeding. And I know some of the
21	organizations have filed comments in this
22	proceeding as well. So we're very thankful and

1 grateful for that always. If you haven't had a chance to review 2 3 notice of proposed rulemaking, available in Docket Number WC 18-213. FCC 1964 4 5 is the document number. And feel free to reach out to me 6 7 afterwards and I can send you a link directly to the NPRM if you'd like that. 8 9 So as many of you aware, the 10 Commission has supported healthcare providers 11 access to communications technologies through the 12 rural healthcare programs. 13 The rural healthcare support program 14 is comprised of two distinct components. Telecommunications Program and the Healthcare 15 16 Connect Fund Program. 17 In July 2019, the Commission adopted a notice of proposed rulemaking that proposed and 18 19 sought comment on a pilot program that would help 20 defray eligible healthcare providers' costs of 21 providing connected care technologies to

income Americans and veterans.

During my talk I will refer to this 1 notice of proposed rulemaking either as the 2 3 connected care notice or NPRM. Healthcare providers are increasingly 4 5 using broadband to provide connected care medical 6 services to patients in their homes. So this is 7 moving beyond the traditional brick and mortar facilities. 8 9 And providers are just able to do 10 really just amazing things with these new 11 technologies. These services however require 12 both the provider and the patient to 13 connectivity. types 14 Some examples of the of connected care services that are being provided 15 16 include video visits with physicians, as well as 17 remote patient monitoring, which requires devices that might collect a patient's data such as, you 18 19 know, a blood pressure monitor or a glucose 20 monitor. 21 And then that data would, the device

would collect that information and then transmit

that information to medical professionals. 1 There just а lot of really 2 are 3 interesting technologies being developed connected care services right now. 4 And it's just exciting 5 really an area to just 6 exciting area. 7 of arowina There are also lot evidence of the benefits of connected care 8 services, including improved health outcomes and 9 reduced healthcare costs. 10 11 The proposed connected care pilot 12 program would actually be separate from the 13 existing telecommunications program and the 14 healthcare connect fund program. background, 15 Вy of for the way 16 telecommunications program, which is one of the 17 existing rural healthcare programs, that program was created in 1997 to ensure that eligible rural 18 19 healthcare providers pay no more than their urban 20 counterparts for telecommunication services. 21 part of that program, eligible

rural healthcare providers receive a discount on

eligible telecommunication services, which is determined by the difference, if any, between the urban and rural rates for telecommunication services.

For that program, the supported services include, but not limited are traditional telephone service, voice and facsimile services.

The healthcare connect fund program is also an existing rural healthcare fund program that was created in 2012 to expand eligible healthcare provider access to broadband especially in rural areas. And encouraged the creation of state and regional broadband networks for healthcare.

Through that program, eliqible healthcare providers and consortium applicants receive a flat 65 percent discount on an array of telecommunications advanced and information services, including but not limited to internet access, dark fiber, business data, traditional DSL service, private carrier services, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 network equipment necessary to make the supported service function. 2 3 With the connected care notice, as I mentioned, the proposed connected care pilot 4 5 would be separate from those existing programs. 6 The connected care notice proposed establishing a pilot program with 100 million dollar budget for 7 a three year funding period duration. 8 9 in the connected care As proposed 10 notice, the following services and equipment 11 could potentially be supported through 12 program, broadband internet access services to 13 provide connected care services. include the 14 would healthcare 15 providers internet access service. own 16 internet access service that patients would use 17 in their home or on a mobile device. previously mentioned, 18 Ι the As 19 existing rural healthcare programs primarily 20 focus on the healthcare providers connectivity. 21 extending it allowing So, to 22 healthcare providers to purchase connectivity

that the patient could then use at her home would be -- at their homes would then be something different from the existing programs.

For the connected care pilot program, the NPRM also propose funding network equipment necessary to enable connectivity for the purposes of connected care. And this could include things like routers and servers.

It also proposed supporting packages or suites of services that are considered information services uses to provide connected care services.

I will note that the connected care notice did not propose funding end user devices, for example, tablets, cell phones, medical devices, or mobile applications unless they were part of an information services that would be supported, or healthcare provider administrative expenses associated with participating in the pilot program.

And these are things that the Commission has not traditionally funded through

the existing USF programs or prior pilots that the Commission has established.

The connected care notice envisioned that participating healthcare providers would purchase the supported services equipment. So as the notice envisions that this would be more of a healthcare provider driven type of program.

And it sought comment on whether healthcare providers should be required to competitively bid for the supported services and equipment.

With respect to eligible healthcare providers and broadband providers, the connected care notice proposed limiting the pilot program to nonprofit and public healthcare providers that fall within the following statutory categories that are currently used for the Commission's rural healthcare programs, the existing programs.

And this would be post-secondary educational institutions offering healthcare teaching hospitals instruction, and medical schools, community health centers or health

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	centers providing healthcare to migrants, local
2	health departments or agencies, community mental
3	health centers, not for profit hospitals, rural
4	health clinics, skilled nursing facilities, and
5	consortia of health care providers consisting of
6	one or more of the above types of entities.
7	The connected care notice proposed not
8	limiting the pilot program to broadband providers
9	that have obtained a designation as an eligible
10	telecommunications carrier.
11	The connected care notice proposed
12	giving healthcare providers flexibility to design
13	the pilot projects. And does not propose
14	limiting pilot projects to specific geographic
15	areas or health conditions.
16	With respect to the discount level,
17	the connected care notice proposed that
18	participating healthcare providers will receive a
19	flat 85 percent discount on the supported
20	services and equipment eligible for support
21	through the pilot program.

Healthcare

providers

22

be

will

1 responsible for the remaining portion of costs. 2 3 The connected care notice proposed not to set a fixed number of pilot projects, or set a 4 5 limit on the amount of funding that can be 6 provided to a single project. 7 The connected care notice also sought funding that eliqible 8 comment on sources healthcare providers could use to pay their share 9 of the costs. 10 11 And under this structure, I think what 12 the notice envisions is that the healthcare 13 provider would be receiving a discount on its bill and the service provider would be reimbursed 14 for the eligible discounted costs. 15 16 And this is very similar to the way 17 the funding structure currently works under the existing rural healthcare programs. 18 19 The NPRM envisions that there would be 20 an application process for the pilot program. 21 Healthcare providers would submit an application 22 to the Commission that would address the various

application criteria in order to participate in the pilot program, including identifying the participating patients.

And the connected care notice also sought comments on the factors that should be used to evaluate the pilot program applications.

And it also proposed awarding additional points to pilot projects that serve certain geographic areas or populations where there are healthcare disparities, for example, rural areas, tribal areas, or just healthcare providers that might be located in urban areas but might primarily serve a rural population.

And also proposed awarding additional points to pilot projects that would treat certain health crisis or chronic conditions. Some examples are opioid dependency, high risk pregnancies, heart disease or diabetes.

Finally, the connected care notice proposed and sought comment on four goals. This is improving health outcomes through connected care, reducing healthcare costs, supporting the

1	trend towards connected care everywhere, and
2	determining how universal service support can
3	positively impact existing telehealth
4	initiatives.
5	With respect to current status, which
6	I know is always something that people are always
7	interested in. So, as many of you know, in
8	August and September, interested parties sought
9	comments and replied comments in our proceeding.
10	And the official comment cycle for the
11	connected care notice has closed at this point.
12	We are still reviewing and evaluating the
13	comments and reply comments.
14	And in terms of next steps in order to
15	move forward, the Commission would need to issue
16	an order for the pilot program.
17	And with that I'll open up to any
18	questions anybody might have about the proposed
19	program. Yes?
20	MS. CLEARWATER: Hi, this is Christina
21	Clearwater. Can you give us an example of
22	that would help us understand the difference in

1	how this differs from the existing program?
2	For example, if I am a provider, sort
3	of in a clinic on tribal lands, so what is
4	what is the additional coverage that the notice
5	is proposing?
6	MS. DUVALL: Sure. That's an
7	excellent question. I think there are two things
8	that I think the additional notice would be
9	covering.
10	As I mentioned, you know, with respect
11	to this program, it's primarily it's focused
12	on connected are services. So it's that
13	additional element of allowing the healthcare
14	provider to purchase connectivity for the patient
15	to also use in their homes.
16	So the way the current programs are
17	structured, they will purchase the connectivity
18	for the healthcare provider to have broadband
19	access, but do not include anything for patients
20	to access it at home.
21	So that's kind of the limit. Patients
22	would need to go to their doctors facilities or

1	already have their own existing broadband in
2	order to take advantage of connected care
3	services.
4	MS. CLEARWATER: So for example, if I
5	am somebody who resides on tribal lands and I
6	have a chronic condition like diabetes, then the
7	medical provider would, let's say there's a
8	device that can monitor glucose levels.
9	MS. DUVALL: Mm-hmm.
10	MS. CLEARWATER: Then the medical
11	provider would perhaps be able to subsidize.
12	MS. DUVALL: Yeah. That's exactly
13	right.
14	MS. CLEARWATER: Okay.
15	MS. DUVALL: And you know, what we're
16	aware of is, you know, based on the record there
17	are a number of physicians that are already
18	subsidizing these type of things for patients
19	with chronic conditions or low income patients
20	already.
21	MS. CLEARWATER: Okay. Great. Great.
22	That's really helpful. Thank you so much.
1	1

1	MS. DUVALL: Mm-hmm.
2	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Good question.
3	Any other questions? Anyone on the phone? Well,
4	great. Well, thank you so much for explaining
5	that.
6	MS. DUVALL: Thank you so much. And
7	thank you all for your time. Again, if you have
8	any other questions about the propose pilot
9	program, please feel free to reach out to me
10	directly.
11	I'm Rashann Duvall again. My email is
12	RashannDuvall@fcc.gov. And I'm pretty easy to
13	find on the website. There's only two Duvall's
14	here at the Commission.
15	(Laughter.)
16	MS. DUVALL: All right. Thank you so
17	much.
18	CHAIR POCIASK: Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Yeah. Let's
21	just take a quick five minute break. We'll
22	yeah. Just real quick five minutes as we oh

1	actually, we have we're ready to go, so.
2	MS. CLEARWATER: Never mind.
3	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah, so we're going
4	to get an update right now on consumer scams.
5	Just sit right here.
6	And we have a presenter who is Kristi
7	Thompson. She's Chief of the Telecommunications
8	Consumer Division Enforcement Bureau. Here's
9	your card.
10	MS. THOMPSON: I promise no one's in
11	trouble.
12	(Laughter.)
12	(Laughter.) CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah.
13 14	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys.
13 14 15	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys. Except for the bad guys.
13 14 15 16	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys. Except for the bad guys. CHAIR POCIASK: Okay.
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys. Except for the bad guys. CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you so much for
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys. Except for the bad guys. CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you so much for having me here. It's a pleasure to be here. I
13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR POCIASK: Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Except the bad guys. Except for the bad guys. CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you so much for having me here. It's a pleasure to be here. I started out life as basically an antitrust lawyer

ļ	
1	standing at the Berkeley Alumni Association has
2	risen substantially as a result.
3	(Laughter.)
4	MS. THOMPSON: So, I'm very delighted
5	to be here. And absolutely believe in the work
6	that this Committee does.
7	I was asked to give a little update on
8	the on consumer scams that are going on. My
9	favorite subject.
10	It is an obsession for me and my
11	staff. And what we spend the majority of our
12	time fighting these days as too many Americans
13	know full well.
14	The big ticket item that pretty much
15	all Americans are dealing with right now are
16	imposter scams. Those are the worst scams
17	happening. They have been for years.
18	These are primarily executed through
19	illegal robocalls, illegal spoofed robocalls.
20	There's an entire book of legislation that I like
21	to throw at the bad guys.
22	And more legislation, I'm happy to

say, has -- is coming down the pike. Frank
Pallone promised that a robocall bill would be on
the President's desk before the end of the year.

And I am so delighted to see that it looks like that may actually happen. Which is very exciting.

The government imposter robocalls are as we all know, for years they have been the IRS calls.

We are talking about transnational robocalling, I don't know, quasi-terrorist cells basically, operating overseas that their entire business model is to call up Americans, pretend to be some government agency, and then try to scare, cajole, convince anything, sometimes all three in the same call, Americans to give up their confidential information and provided them with financial payments that the victim does not owe to any American government agency.

MS. THOMPSON: Formally, it was the IRS. These were IRS calls you must, the messages would say things like you owe \$5,000 in back

taxes. If you don't contact us and call us back immediately, we'll send the sheriff to your house.

They have switched up the scam recent, just in the past year, and now where it was the IRS, now it's a Social Security scam and it's the Social Security Administration that is learning the joys of a robocalling campaign that takes their name in vain. Now what consumers are facing are robocalls that say you owe money to the Social Security Administration or you were improperly given benefits that you don't owe. Again, there's a threat of law enforcement action, the local police or the FBI or they name any sorts of organizations will come after you if you don't pay up now.

The mechanism that they demand payment is the same, and that's not surprising because it's the same organizations, the same groups of people primarily operating out of India, who are making these calls. They've just switched up their message a little bit. So they're still

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

demanding iTunes, payment in iTunes cards or Google Play cards or Steam cards or prepaid cards. There's an entire cottage industry of scammers that are, that are even, even have active Facebook groups where they talk to each other about this scam is working right now or, no, don't do it this way, you need to pitch it this way instead, or I'm offering to buy, you know, on ten cents a dollar all of your iTunes cards, you know, iTunes stuff that you get in and a whole network.

We expected, so two things from this. One, we are learning everyday better how to go after the entities that are responsible for these scams. And behind the scenes in ways that, unfortunately, I can't talk about or reveal publicly, we are continuing to make strides to shut down these networks. Secondly, this really illustrates, the developments over the past year illustrate really how the scammer cottage industry is very adaptive. IRS calls stopped being effective, so they switched up to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Social Security Administration, and now a new federal agency is getting up to speed just as the IRS had to get up to speed, with how to deal with that.

We expect that the scammers want to stay in business. There may be other, they may switch up again and it may not be the Social Security Administration anymore. It could be, it's the Veterans Administration mavbe Maybe it's some other government agency. Maybe they start picking on state agencies. The point is we already predict that this may happen, and we have to be flexible and adaptive, just as they're adaptive, to move very quickly. The good news is, we are, and I'll talk about this in a little bit, we are putting structures in place to make that easier going forward so we don't have to start from scratch every time the bad guys switch up their scam.

The other major scam that is going on that a lot of Americans find baffling and somewhat frightening are what we're calling the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Chinese consulate scams. If you have ever gotten a robocall where when you listen to the message the message is in Mandarin, that is a major scam It is targeted, it is a that's going on. they're taking a shotgun approach, so robocalling basically everybody, but the targets of the scam are much more narrow. They are looking for Chinese expats the Chinese or Interestingly enough, this immigrant community. started hitting codes had scam area that significant Chinese immigrant expat or San Francisco was first populations, SO the identified target. After that, it moved on to New York, then D.C., and it continues to grow. Now it appears they're just robocalling everybody.

But the point or the hook in the Chinese consulate scam, the message says this is the Chinese government, you or your family owe taxes to the Chinese government. If you don't pay then your family or you may be jailed. It's apparently a frightening message, and it names a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

real Chinese agency that actually does police payment of taxes by foreign nationals who are living outside of the country. And the threat is very compelling. We are especially concerned about this particular threat, first because it is so evil just as a matter of principle, but then, it has a disproportionate secondly, because effect on an already vulnerable population within the United States, which is the Chinese immigrant community. We're very concerned about that. That is another major stand that we are tracking. Again, these are scams very similar to t.he IRS and Social Security Administration impersonation scams that we also believe are originating outside the country. That makes enforcement challenging, but we are continuing to develop ways to shut that off.

So a little bit about -- and then, sorry, let me move on to kind of the last category of scams that we see, and those are what I call scumbag telemarketing.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. THOMPSON: That's the technical
term for it. Right now, sort of the biggest, the
biggest one of these that's happening just in the
last three to four months are the healthcare scam
calls where they're pitching health insurance
plans, et cetera. There's been a number of press
articles about this. Not surprisingly, the
healthcare scams ramp up around open enrollment
seasons, so while we're all kind of thinking
about health insurance, we're probably getting or
at least I'm getting a lot of emails from our HF
departments about open enrollment on the health
insurance plans. Well, the scammers know this,
too, and they are timing, just like the IRS folks
used to time their IRS threatening calls around
April 16th and the months before that, the health
insurance scammers are doing the same thing
around tax time and around open enrollment
season. So we're seeing spikes of that happening
late in the year and then again in, like, March
and April of every year. That's another thing
that we are tracking.

There are а plethora of other telemarketing robocalls that happen on a more patchwork basis or sometimes a nationwide basis. want to note that there is a significant variation in the quality of the offerings that are being pitched. Some of those robocalls are legitimate products. They're just done in a really illegal and problematic manner. Some of them are outright frauds. And it really depends on which robocall campaign you're talking about what kind of offerings and that they're discussing to tell the difference.

All of them are annoying. All of them are illegal when they're robocalls to cell phones or in other inappropriate ways or they happen without the consumer's express written consent. And no one has any sympathy for the illegal telemarketing robocallers, certainly not me and my folks.

The other major part of enforcement action that we're looking at are spoofing continues to be a problem. I've said before that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

spooring is gasoline on the robocalling fire. It
allows the bad guys to completely hide what they
are doing or nearly completely hide. It makes it
much more difficult to tell who is actually
responsible for the telephone call. That is
something that I know. There's another panel
right after this talking about caller ID
authentication, a way that we can make it much
more difficult for the originator of a robocall
to hide their true identity. I'm excited about
it because that will also make it much easier on
the enforcement side to find targets for
enforcement and to take appropriate enforcement
actions against them, so instead of ten cases a
year we can do a hundred. That would be
fantastic. Or as many cases as it takes to get
the bad guys to go into a different line of
business, like, I don't know, sell hot dogs or
something. Something that's legitimate.

So over the past year, there have been some exciting developments in attacking these consumer frauds. We are seeing way more

in particular between government cooperation, and the federal government. states My organization in particular has executed memoranda of understanding between multiple states now to cooperate on robocalling and spoofing matters. The states have significant anti-fraud robocall little mini-FTC acts on the books that they are raring and excited to bring against violators. And we are, to put it mildly, in a target-rich environment, maximizing SO dollars spent by the government by divvying up the work among all of us who share some authority and some power to punish and deter these kinds of violations is just an exercise in good government if not just straight up mathematics.

We are also seeing significantly more industry cooperation. Long ago, more than ten years ago, some of us kind of labored in the wilderness trying to get folks to pay attention to the robocalling threat and suggest that the telecom industry in particular had a role to play in helping crack down on problematic robocalls.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

while, there For а was some skepticism, but I'm here to tell you now that skepticism is gone. And what we have instead is actual commitment backed up by companies spending dollars to solve the problem and to help develop new tools that will solve the problem. That is a really good sign. There is recognition at the highest levels of the telecom entities that we deal with and regulate that combating frauds, combating telephone frauds is not only a good idea but is absolutely necessary.

already seeing We are consumer behavior change, and this probably won't be a surprise to any of you because if anyone here says that they answer telephone calls from numbers they don't recognize I will be shocked. That is a huge difference. Ten years ago, if you got a call from a number you didn't recognize, you probably picked up. Now, your voicemail gets to hear your calls, 90 percent of your calls or That is a significant change to consumer more. behavior, and whenever there's а significant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

change to consumer behavior it drives where the market is going. If consumers no longer value their voice telephone service, that changes and potentially threatens a lot of services and a lot of offerings that depend on voice telephone service. That's an existential threat to a telephone service company. So there's a clear understanding that this is a problem and we need to do something about it.

There has been significant investment in the authentication process, which I won't talk too much because I won't steal anybody's thunder. And there's also а greater willingness innovate on both in terms of how they interact with us on the enforcement side and how offerings that companies make available to consumers, like blocking apps and other features of telephone service that make it easier for consumers to decide which calls they want to accept and which ones they don't. All of these are critically important protective measures that are necessary as we combat these scams.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

multiple Ι have said times to legislative staff, to members of the public, to companies, and to advocates, there is no one solution to the robocalling and the spoofing It's going to take a hundred different solutions all working together in concert solve the problem and protect consumers. what makes it so difficult to clamp down, why we can't just throw a switch and block all the bad calls because, technologically and legally, it's a complex problem that requires a complex set of solutions. But we are making great progress.

We will continue to emphasize enforcement actions, taking actions against the bad guys, making examples of them. One of the things that we are trying to do is convince the scammer industry that they need to go into a different line of work and that the economics are no longer in their favor. We want to make it more costly than cost effective to engage in these kinds of scams, and we'll do that by enforcement actions, by disrupting networks,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

blocking, by public shaming, by anything that we can to change the economics that this is no longer a low-risk high-reward kind of activity.

As I mentioned before, there is promising legislation that is coming down the pike that will both increase the penalties for this kind of illegal activity, make it easier to enforce, and also streamline the ways that industry and government work together to identify the culprits and put them out of business.

And I just want to end on a note of hope and talk about a completely different kind of consumer harm that was a great focus ten years ago and up until just about five or six years ago. Do you all remember, do you all remember cramming? Do you remember unauthorized charges on your cell phone bills, those 99 cent charges? Ten years ago or back in 2011, the Senate had a whole series of hearings and there was a 50-page report on the problem of cramming, and it was the major consumer protection problem of its time, and there was a recognition at the highest levels

of Congress that we needed to do something about
this. And I'm pleased to say that that is what
we did. We went out and tackled the cramming
problem, and our complaints about unauthorized
charges now are a tiny trickle compared to what
they were in 2011, 2012, '13, and '14. I offer
this as an example that we have the same
dedicated folks who worked on the cramming
problem who are now working on this robocalling
problem, and we have the same goal in mind which
is to solve this problem and, if a new one comes
up, to tackle the new one. There will always be
threats to consumers. There will always be
potential harms because there are always people
who want to take advantage of other people. We
will continue to adapt and attack those problems
as they happen, but I think there is room to have
hope and to be happy that we have succeeded in
the past and we can succeed again.

With that, are there any questions or anything that I can talk about?

CHAIR POCIASK: Eric?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MEMBER KOCH: Thank you. Eric Koch,
2	and thank you for an excellent presentation. I
3	have two questions. Another one of the evil
4	ones, and they're all evil. Maybe it's just
5	different degrees. But another one you didn't
6	mention is the grandchild phone call.
7	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
8	MEMBER KOCH: And I'd like for you to
9	talk a little bit about that one.
10	MS. THOMPSON: I'd be happy to.
11	MEMBER KOCH: And then kind of related
12	to that is I wonder if the data actually
13	underreports the problem due to the shame and
14	embarrassment that victims feel, particularly
15	elderly, and whether, you know, that data
16	underreports that and how we go about quantifying
17	that. And I guess it kind of comes back to
18	education, you know
19	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
20	MEMBER KOCH: particularly
21	America's seniors. So comment on those two
22	things if you

MS. THOMPSON: I would be happy to
because those are things that I think about every
single day. Just your first part, the
grandparents scam, this is the scam where a
malicious caller calls up, they target
communities that have significant populations of
elderly folks, but really they'll try to get
anybody. And they say your grandchild I can
say my own uncle had this happen to him, and,
unfortunately, he was a victim of this scam. He
got a call from someone who said your son is in
Mexico and was jailed and you need to send us
\$500 to pay for the attorney to bail him out of
jail. Unfortunately, my cousins being kind of
the people that they are, this was not an
entirely implausible story, I'm sorry to say.
And, unfortunately, it worked. He ponied up the
\$500 or however much it was and then only later
realized that this was a complete scam, that he
had, in fact, lost his money to a scammer.

There are multiple people out there who run this scam. It is a popular scam. It has

been going on for decades. Robocalling and free, not free but cheap VOIP dialing has made it easier to do and has particularly made it easier for scammers outside the country to perpetrate these kinds of scams.

So it is, to your second point about underreporting, yes, and Ι have personal You all know the story of experience with this. Not a single member of my family does my uncle. because he swore me to secrecy that I should not tell ΜV grandmother, my parents, any of siblings or anybody else because he was SO ashamed, so deeply ashamed that he, a very smart, a very smart person, college educated, prides himself on being savvy, had fallen for this scam. It was deeply humiliating to him. He's probably mortified if he knew that I was explaining that this was going on, so I'm sorry in advance. yes, it is a significant underreporting problem. We hear about only a fraction of the people who are victimized this way.

So what do we do about that? One

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thing that the Commission has done is partner with entities like AARP to do more consumer education. We are trying to convince elders in particular it's not something to be ashamed of, you didn't do something wrong. The scammer did something wrong, and if anyone should ashamed it's them. We're trying to spread that possible and to message as much as empower victim consumers who may be the of this particular scam to recognize the signs in advance so that they can avoid that problem altogether. We've done significant work over the last year trying to get that message out to vulnerable populations in particular, and that continues to be a focus.

MEMBER KOCH: May I ask a follow-up?

MS. THOMPSON: Sure.

MEMBER KOCH: Thank you. Is there a role in retailer education to play in this, and are there initiatives where our big-box retailers and others, you know, might be able when somebody like your uncle shows up and buys \$500 or \$1,000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 | --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

MEMBER KOCH: -- worth of Google Play cards, is there some inquiry that can be made in both a legal and respectful sort of way? General question: can retailers be engaged in this?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

MEMBER KOCH: Because that's the payment mechanism.

Retailers can MS. THOMPSON: Yes. and, in fact, they are. There was a significant effort with CVS because so many of the victims of the IRS, Social Security Administration card, grandparent scam cards, calls, the ones that are demanding payment in these, you know, Steam cards or iTunes gift cards, there has been significant push to entities like CVS. now training their cashiers and staff members to recognize the signs of a scam when someone comes up and has, you know, an armful of iTunes cards and says I need \$5,000 worth of iTunes cards. Some of the companies, I understand, have started putting limits in place so, you know, maximizing, you can only do \$500 maximum or \$200 maximum in purchases at a time to try to slow down that process so that somebody can say, hey, wait a minute, why are you buying all of these cards? What's going on here? Who told you you needed the -- no, the IRS does not accept payment, does accept payments in iTunes not tax cards. Something that we can do to slow it down, get the victim to get out of the tunnel of what he or she has been told is going to happen to them by the scammer to step back and think about what the situation really is and question that whole process.

VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Posting notices at the sales, too?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. So we've seen notices being posted up in grocery stores, drugstores saying if someone is telling you that you need to buy a whole bunch of iTunes cards, this is a known scam, don't do it. Over-the-air announcements, you know, on the PA system as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

music is playing, there's also announcements, you know, this is a scam, don't do it, et cetera. If there's new creative ways to do that, we are open to ideas, as well, and would welcome feedback from all of you if you have additional ideas that we should think about or avenues to explore.

MEMBER KOCH: And along the same lines, as a state legislator myself, any advice you have to us for state-level solutions I'd be very interested in.

MS. THOMPSON: Oh, yes.

MEMBER KOCH: Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: Speaking as an enforcer, I'm always in favor of better enforcement tools that make it easier for sorry. That's my self-serving ask. So empower your state's AG offices to the extent necessary. They live with their laws inside and out. probably have ideas for you to say, you know, how can you strengthen. That's the conversation that, you know, we and our counterparts at the FTC had at the federal level with, you know, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

current legislation. The legislators came to us and said we have ideas that we want to do, let's talk about what the problems are that you see. That's a way to help.

it's, Anything -mostly, like, attention. We need to do as much consumer education as we possibly can and reach out to that maybe don't interact with the consumers government every single day. There are willing industry participants on the telephone side that are, you know, happy to do PSAs, that are happy to do consumer outreach efforts. Organizations like AARP or other organizations that serve particularly vulnerable populations. I mentioned the Chinese expat and immigrant community. would suggest, you know, reaching out to organizations that serve immigrant communities in particular and saying what are we doing together to get the word out about this scam and protect That's the kind of thing that those people? particular organizations with that work populations probably have some great ideas and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	would welcome some additional government impetus
2	behind those ideas.
3	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. We have time
4	for one more, if we can get one more. Yes, make
5	sure your hand is up, too, so we can get the
6	mikes on.
7	MEMBER LONG-DILLARD: Vonda Long,
8	AT&T. Kristi, we appreciate you, Wonder Woman.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MEMBER LONG-DILLARD: I've just got to
11	tell you that. I have a question about, are you
12	seeing an uptick in complaints around ringless
13	voicemail scams? Because as, you know,
14	implementation of SHAKEN/STIR gets closer,
15	scammers are looking for other means of scamming
16	people.
17	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, yes, they are.
18	And the answer is yes. So those of you who may
19	not be familiar with what a ringless voicemail
20	is, there are some companies that have developed
21	kind of a software technology that attempts to,

that attempts to contact you on your phone. But

what they're hoping to do is not actually ring your phone, they just want to drop a voicemail in your voicemail box without your telephone ever ringing.

The reason that, why would they do this? For two reasons: one, they think it's, ironically, they think it's less intrusive, and I'll talk about that in just a second; but then, secondly, what they're trying to do is avoid liability under federal laws that say you can't ring somebody's telephone, you can't call someone for a telemarketing purpose without the prior express written consent of that consumer. So their brilliant idea is, great, I'll leave them a voicemail and then I'm not actually making a call.

The problem is, and this is a message

I try to communicate to companies that are
looking for these kind of innovative, you know,
work-around-the-law kind of solutions is that you
get in trouble when you do things that violate
consumer expectations. And to put it bluntly,

consumers are freaked out when they get calls, when they get phantom voicemails that their phones never rang but suddenly there's Their first thought is this phone voicemail. sucks, my service sucks, I'm not getting calls, it's dropping calls, I'm not receiving it. then their second thought is when they realize that, no, the phone never actually rang is how do That's creepy. they do that? Who else is getting into my voicemails? I don't want this to happen.

There's a whole lot of consumer angst about this technology, so the answer to your question is, yes, we are getting significantly more consumer complaints. I also say that some of the entities that are making these kind of calls or think they have a solution are not nearly as effective as they think they are because what happens is their ringless voicemail actually rings the phone once and then it drops it into voicemail and that aggravates consumers, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	That is a problem we are looking at.
2	I can't comment too much more past that, but we
3	are aware that consumers are not happy with this
4	new thing that they're dealing with.
5	CHAIR POCIASK: Kristi, thank you so
6	much. Was there anyone on the phone that had a
7	question before we move on? Thank you so much.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	CHAIR POCIASK: You can tell there was
10	a lot of interest in that topic.
11	(Applause.)
12	MS. THOMPSON: I love my job. Thank
13	you.
14	
	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Well,
15	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next,
1516	
	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next,
16	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next, next on the agenda we have Michael Santorelli.
16 17	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next, next on the agenda we have Michael Santorelli. You want me to move to the slides?
16 17 18	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next, next on the agenda we have Michael Santorelli. You want me to move to the slides? MEMBER SANTORELLI: Sure.
16 17 18	thank you. Okay. So, Michael, so on the next, next on the agenda we have Michael Santorelli. You want me to move to the slides? MEMBER SANTORELLI: Sure. CHAIR POCIASK: Okay.

everyone. So Michael Santorelli. I am co-chair of the CAC's Caller ID Authentication Working Group. My fellow co-chair, Thaddeus Johnson, I want to recognize. He's not able to be here today. He's being represented by Barbara Burton. But Thaddeus, I just want to acknowledge that he was, you know, we worked very hard together, very closely, and he was very actively involved in this process. I just want to make sure that he is acknowledged for that.

I also wanted to thank Scott and Christina for all of their help in shepherding us throughout this process. It was a good amount of work in a short period of time, but I think we came together for a good recommendation. I'm just going to run through it pretty quickly, and everyone should have a copy in their folders.

Just quickly, a review of our working group's charge. I'll just read. We were charged to develop a recommendation to address how the Commission other stakeholders and best can educate consumers about the meaning of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SHAKEN/STIR caller ID authentication framework and what are the most important factors providers should consider for displaying authentication and other information about the call to consumers.

And so just a brief overview of our efforts to get to a recommendation, a good amount on information gathering, time was spent FCC resources, which reviewing were plentiful, filings. There was a robocall summit the which over summer was very helpful. Researching the many news stories and studies and other events that have been happening on this issue. As we've heard today, it's an issue on everyone's minds, it seems, in top of And then a big part of our information sector. gathering was hearing from а number of stakeholders who are part of this process or who included implicated by it, and that are conversations or presentations by AARP, CenturyLink, the Secure Telephone Identity Governance Authority which actually played a huge role in developing the SHAKEN/STIR framework, T-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Mobile, TNS. Thank you to Sarah Leggin from CTIA and who was instrumental in facilitating many of these presentations and also worked very hard on numerous aspects of the process, as well. So thank you, Sarah, and Vonda, as well, for facilitating the AT&T presentation. It was very much a group effort in the process.

just drafting, And editing, then finalizing the recommendation. Spent several weeks on it, had a draft, went through many changes, intense editing sessions. But we came up with, ultimately, a draft that was unanimously adopted by our working group. I think reflected a lot of input from a host of different perspectives, SO we're very happy with outcome.

So just to through the run itself quickly. recommendation The whereas clause is the 13, excuse me, the 13 whereas essentially setting out our kind of clauses issue caller ΙD findings on the around authentication, framing the problem, which is,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we've heard numerous times today, unwanted robocalls facilitated by caller ID manipulation or spoofing primarily. Then teeing up the promise of the SHAKEN/STIR framework which will help combat this issue. And, again, as we've heard, this is part of a broader strategy around combating robocalls because it's an ever-mutating issue.

So SHAKEN/STIR is industry-led an standard to enhance call authentication to make which sure that means by calls can be authenticated from where they originate, as I mentioned, part of a broader strategy around combating robocalls.

The findings also include that there are some limitations, at least initially, with the SHAKEN/STIR framework as it rolls out. It will take some time to kind of be embraced broadly. Initially, it will work just on IP-based communications, so folks on legacy networks might not benefit, at least initially. And also the SHAKEN/STIR framework itself, as we heard

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

from several of our presenters, tends to be most effective when paired with other analytics, so other kind of data-driven efforts by carriers themselves or third parties to supplement the SHAKEN/STIR caller authentication framework to help the carriers label the calls and show a coming in, whether consumer what is potential scam or it's verified. And carriers are kind of working through how best to present that information to their customers.

And then, finally, there is a need for and many roles for robust consumer education around the SHAKEN/STIR rollout.

And recommendations, there are six of them. And on here they're called resolve clauses just because that's where my, I always pair resolve with whereas clauses, but they're actually recommendations in the draft itself.

So our recommendations include, and I'll just kind of walk through them one-by-one just so everyone can hear it as they read along.

The first recommendation is that voice service

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

providers clearly and proactively inform educate consumers about the caller ID-related services they offer, including caller identification, call labeling and display practices, what information call labels may what action consumers should take label, the capabilities relative to each limitations of the SHAKEN/STIR framework, whether providers offer SHAKEN/STIR to their I believe beginning this month or customers. very soon it will start to be rolled out by a number of carriers but not everyone right away, so that's certainly relevant.

Our second recommendation is that the Commission develop web pages and educational campaigns that use simple language, visuals, and videos to provide consumers explanations of and SHAKEN/STIR the resources and call on authentication capabilities and limitations of the various voice service networks, for example communications IP-based and TDM the or traditional copper network, and links to voice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

service providers' websites. And the focus here is on simple language, visuals, and videos just because these are very technical-sounding models and principles, so the easier it is to convey to consumers the better just because it is kind of a lot to wrap your mind around.

Recommendation three, voice service providers maintain customer service and other resources to help consumers and call originators obtain answers to questions and resolve issues related to reports of call labeling, including potential mislabeling.

Recommendation four recommended that the Commission keep evaluating how best encourage voice service providers to continue innovating and improving caller ID services that empower with the relevant call consumers include additional information which may information along with the combined results of SHAKEN/STIR and reasonable analytics, kind of acknowledging that this is an ongoing issue and there will be a need for continued efforts by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

service providers to continue responding to these malicious activities.

Recommendation number five recommending that the Commission, consumer groups, the Commission, industry, consumer and other stakeholders conduct studies and solicit input on what factors voice service providers should consider for displaying caller information ΙD to consumers, including SHAKEN/STIR verification, caller identity information, telephone number authentication, and other information about the call. Recommended that these entities should also evaluate how respond to call labeling, including consumers call labels effective whether are at communicating the authenticated information and prompting consumer action that mitigates harms unwanted calls. from illegal and We also recommend that these entities should share the information, as appropriate, in order to come up with best practices, recognizing again that there will be any number of ongoing efforts by carriers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

as they grapple with these issues and so the more they can share and work together among themselves, as well as other stakeholders, all the better.

And, finally, last recommendation that the Commission continue to collaborate with industry, consumer groups, consumer advocacy groups, federal, state, and local government agencies, and other stakeholders to educate consumers about how caller ID services, consumer display practices, and other measures can respond to evolving illegal and unwanted robocaller tactics, protect consumers, and restore trust in invoice services.

So that is our recommendation.

CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Before we move forward, everyone has received through email the document, and I've noticed that there's a couple of missing pages from what was in your folder. So, you know, unless, you know -- I suspect that some of you want to see the entire document. It's the same as it was emailed. So what we

1	could do is we can take a five-minute break and
2	have extra copies brought in, you know, if that
3	would be helpful. Are we comfortable with just
4	moving ahead then? Because it's the same
5	document that was emailed. I just want to make
6	sure. Apparently, there's a page, maybe it was a
7	two-sided page, okay, and it was printed one-
8	sided.
9	So are we okay then? I just want to
10	make sure everyone, because we can afford a break
11	and bring some copies in. So we're good to go?
12	Michael, I'll turn it back over to you then.
13	MEMBER SANTORELLI: Okay. So with
14	that then, I move for adoption or a vote on our
15	recommendation. I don't know the process.
16	MEMBER KOCH: Move to vote.
17	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. All right. Do
18	we have a second?
19	MEMBER FOLLANSBEE: Second.
20	CHAIR POCIASK: There's a second
21	there. Lynn.
22	MEMBER ROOKER: This is Shirley

1	Rooker. I seconded.
2	CHAIR POCIASK: There we go. All
3	right. Do we have any, anybody want to make any
4	points, discuss any issue with the
5	recommendation? Well, hearing none, anybody on
6	the phone have something you want to discuss or
7	mention in regard to this?
8	Okay. Hearing none, then we can move
9	on with a vote. Do we need to take another
10	tally? It looks like
11	MR. MARSHALL: I think we have a
12	quorum.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: we have, I think we
14	have a quorum.
15	MR. MARSHALL: We had sixteen this
16	morning when you started and two on the phone.
17	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes, I think we're
18	good.
19	MR. MARSHALL: So it looks like we
20	still have a quorum and we're good to go.
21	CHAIR POCIASK: We're good to go then.
22	MR. MARSHALL: All right, good.

1	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. So with
2	the recommendation before you, how many are for,
3	say aye.
4	(Chorus of aye.)
5	CHAIR POCIASK: How many opposed?
6	Anyone online? Okay.
7	MEMBER ROOKER: I said aye. Shirley
8	Rooker here.
9	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay, Shirley.
10	MS. GRANT: Susan Grant said aye.
11	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. And
12	MEMBER UMANSKY: And Barry Umansky is
13	in favor, as well.
14	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Abstentions?
15	Any abstentions here, online, on the phone?
16	Okay. So I guess we have unanimous.
17	Okay. So with that, the recommendation, Michael,
18	is passed. Thank you, everyone. Congratulations.
19	(Applause.)
20	CHAIR POCIASK: We'll put this into a
21	format, and then I'll send it along to the
22	Commission. Thank you very much. Nice.

1	MEMBER ROOKER: This is Shirley
2	Rooker. Let me just say a great big thanks to
3	Michael and Thaddeus because you all did a bang-
4	up job in leading this group.
5	CHAIR POCIASK: Absolutely, yes.
6	(Applause.)
7	CHAIR POCIASK: So great news. So
8	that passed. And with that, let's take a break.
9	We're going to have lunch. Again, I just want
10	to mention a big thanks to CTIA for providing our
11	lunch today. Thank you.
12	And let's see. We'll resume at 1:10.
13	All right. We'll see you then.
14	MR. MARSHALL: Thanks, everybody.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
16	went off the record at 12:10 p.m. and resumed at
17	1:18 p.m.)
18	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. So let's
19	just get started here, and we can wrap up in just
20	a bit. So first we'll open it up for some
21	discussion. I just wanted to remind people of
22	some important dates. Again, we have a special

1	hybrid teleconference and in-person meeting
2	again, that will be February 13th from 2:00 to
3	3:00. Okay? And that will be for the robocall
4	report working group.
5	MR. MARSHALL: Mostly teleconference.
6	CHAIR POCIASK: Right.
7	MR. MARSHALL: Unless you want to show
8	up in person.
9	CHAIR POCIASK: So our next meeting
10	here then is set, it's probably April 17th.
11	MR. MARSHALL: But that may move to
12	later in the month.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes.
14	MR. MARSHALL: We understand the NAB
15	has a conference, and we're conflicting with it
16	that day. So we may have to move that day to
17	later April or earlier April, depending upon the
18	facility's availability.
19	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. And then in
20	September there's two tentative
21	MR. MARSHALL: That's looking better.
22	Yes, that's looking better.

CHAIR POCIASK: So it's either September 23rd or September 25th. That's a Wednesday and a Friday, so those are the other tentative dates. So just make sure we have that in mind. We'll send out an email to try to confirm things.

MR. MARSHALL: Yes, absolutely.

CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. And also I just if anyone had any wanted to just open it up questions or any discussion. There was something that we were talking about, too, in terms of if we're trying to -- in the past we used to have a lot of working group meetings towards the conclusion, and of course people would You know, any ideas about how we might be able to keep people around longer? for example, starting these from 10:00 and going to 3:00, would that be better or worse for people? I'm just wondering, you know, what we could do to try to keep people around for the shortly after second half or lunch? Any thoughts? Any discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MEMBER ZACHARY: Could it be a working
2	lunch?
3	MR. MARSHALL: The problem with a
4	working lunch is our interpreters needing time to
5	eat, and so we really need to factor that into
6	the process. So a working lunch is difficult.
7	MEMBER ZACHARY: Okay.
8	MR. MARSHALL: We can shorten the
9	lunch to maybe 30 minutes or 40 minutes, but I
LO	don't know if we can work over lunch as a general
L1	rule.
L2	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay.
L3	MS. CLEARWATER: We might be able to
L 4	do a combination where we have a shorter lunch
L5	and then that second half, that half an hour, we
L 6	would work maybe. That might be the compromise.
L7	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Sarah?
L8	MEMBER LEGGIN: I would be fine with
L9	moving the lunch just that 40 minutes later
20	because I think that the rest of our program is
21	pretty brief, so I think folks could maybe,

1	10:00, having lunch at 1:00 might be not so bad.
2	Because I think it's easier for some schedules,
3	I don't know about others, but just to carve out
4	this full morning chunk, and then at least you
5	have your afternoon to be able to get back to
6	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. That's good,
7	too. So if we don't have like a working group
8	planned or something like that, then maybe what
9	we'll do is just push the lunch out.
10	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Maybe not have
11	lunch.
12	CHAIR POCIASK: Or not have lunch and
13	just
14	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: I mean that would
15	save our folks money.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes. And then we'll
17	just yes. Okay. So that's a couple of ideas.
18	So did you want to say something, too?
19	MR. MARSHALL: I also wanted to
20	mention that this is the last meeting for my
21	colleague, Christina Clearwater, as Deputy
22	Designated Federal Officer. She's moving over

1	and has a promotion in the Homeland Security and
2	Public Safety Bureau as a Deputy Division Chief
3	of Policy and Licensing, and I'm going to miss
4	her and I know you all will, too. She's been an
5	excellent person to work with and has brought a
6	lot of knowledge and really commitment to the
7	CAC, to the process, and I really do appreciate
8	that. So good luck, Christina, on your future
9	MS. CLEARWATER: Thank you, Scott.
10	MR. MARSHALL: activity, and I know
11	you'll still be a phone call away. Once in a
12	while, you might drop down and say hello to the
13	CAC.
14	MS. CLEARWATER: Yes, absolutely.
15	It's been a pleasure to work with all of you. I
16	have just really been so impressed with just the
17	hard work and the diligence and dedication that
18	I've seen all of the members display. It's just
19	been so rewarding and so valuable, so I can't
20	thank you enough and I've very much enjoyed my
21	time with the Committee. So thank you so much.

(Applause.)

1	MS. CLEARWATER: And on a personal
2	note, I've so enjoyed working with Scott. He's
3	just such a talent, and I'm really going to miss
4	him. Thanks so much.
5	CHAIR POCIASK: Great. Yes, and I'm
6	sure we'll like to have you back so we can learn
7	about the notifications that we get both
8	MR. MARSHALL: Now I've got friends in
9	the Homeland Security Bureau
10	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes, both on the
11	broadcast and on the texting and the geotargeting
12	and all that stuff, so we want to hear more about
13	that, and also how you get the 4:00 a.m. calls.
14	MS. CLEARWATER: Yes, yes. I'm not
15	looking forward to that portion.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Well thank you so much
17	for doing that.
18	MR. MARSHALL: When the wireless
19	alerts break, they will call you at 4:00 in the
20	morning.
21	MS. CLEARWATER: Oh, they will. They
22	will.

CHAIR POCIASK: And also I don't want 1 2 to just gloss over it, but what we had with 3 Thaddeus and Michael and all the working groups, I mean I can't remember having this many sort of 4 5 just complete, you know --Detail and actionable 6 MR. MARSHALL: 7 CHAIR POCIASK: It's just hard work, 8 you know, just getting things through. 9 It's just 10 been so amazing. You know, I remember in the 11 past we go through this and you get, you know, a 12 group of, you get your yeses and nos and abstains 13 and all that, but this is pretty amazing, I have 14 to say, and it reflects the really hard work that these groups are doing. And so I just wanted to 15 16 recognize all of you for, you know, what you've 17 done in the past and what you guys did over the last couple of months. 18 19 So anyways I wanted to say that. Also 20 since we have a couple more minutes, if there's 21 anything else that people would like to raise or

phone, any discussion

anyone

on

the

22

items,

1	anything else from the group here? Okay. So not
2	hearing anything there, so let's turn it over to
3	any comments from the public. Okay. Hearing
4	none, so we have one last presentation, and I
5	guess we're waiting for her just for a couple
6	more minutes, but dealing with I guess online,
7	electronic filing. So anyways I guess we're just
8	on hold for a minute.
9	MR. MARSHALL: Don't go anywhere.
10	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Just like the
11	electronic filing system.
12	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes.
13	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, similar.
14	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. So we'll
15	start with the presentation then. With no
16	further ado, then let me turn it over to Jaclyn
17	Rosen, Honors Attorney, Mobility Division,
18	Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.
19	MS. ROSEN: So I'm Jaclyn Rosen. I'm
20	an attorney advisor in the Wireless
21	Telecommunications Bureau Mobility Division, and
22	I'll be presenting on our recent September 2019

NPRM, completing a transition to e-filing. So first, this presentation is broken into three sections. The first is an overview of FCC licensing, the second is how licensing works, and the third is the NPRM from this past September.

So the FCC is responsible for managing licensing spectrum for commercial and and which includes noncommercial users, state, county, and local governments. In licensing the promote efficient and reliable spectrum, we spectrum for a variety of innovative access to well as promote public safety uses, as emergency response.

In terms of wireless and public safety licensing, this includes spectrum that's used to provide voice and data services to consumers, spectrum used to fuel private systems that fuel our country's business, industrial, critical infrastructure and public safety needs, and spectrum that's used directly by citizens, which is mainly amateur radio.

So how licensing works. To obtain a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

license from the Commission, applicants are required to submit certain forms. Which forms they're required to submit depends on which license the applicant is seeking.

So where do they go? There's one system for licensing with wireless and public safetv and then three other systems that complement our licensing activities. In the past, these forms were filed manually, but today most are filed electronically as a result of our efforts modernize digitize to and the Commission's filing and retention systems. And the NPRM from September proposes to make the vast majority of our filings electronic.

electronic filing? why Most applications for wireless licenses are already submitted electronically, but the FCC in recent years has taken efforts to modernize our filing and retention systems by improving electronic access and digitizing our communications. NPRM furthers this in recent terms of our wireless filings in particular.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

These efforts are valuable to public because they improve public access to data. decrease costs to consumers, improve transparency and accessibility for a variety of substantial users, saves amounts of paper annually, and improved administrative efficiency.

So overview of the wireless an licensing systems. There's system one for licensing with wireless and public safety, which ULS, and then three other is systems that licensing activities complement our which includes the Antenna Structure Registration, the Tower Construction Notification System, and E-106 System.

In terms of trends in filing, we've noticed that in ULS the majority of applications are already required to be filed electronically, but exceptions exist. In ASR, the majority similarly are filed electronically, but applicants have a choice to file either manually electronically. And for TCNS, it's electronic only system similar to E-106. So all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

interactions are electronic by design, but it's a voluntary system so the tower notifiers aren't required to use the system as a vehicle to fulfill their obligations.

Getting into the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking from this past September, so there's three main objectives in the NPRM. The proposed changes would first make the majority of wireless electronic; second, filings require email addresses on the applicable FCC forms; third, eliminate the remaining correspondence sent by mail. By facilitating the remaining steps to transition our systems from paper to electronic, we're making interaction with these systems more accessible and efficient for those who rely on them and also reduce licensees' administrative costs. The comments to this were due on October 30th, 2019, and the reply comments were due by November 14th, 2019.

So part one would mandate electronic filing. The issue that we saw was in 1998 we mandated electronic filing, but we included

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

exceptions for some applicants, like individuals, small businesses, and public agencies that we felt lacked the resources to quickly convert to electronic filing. However, given the changes in internet accessibility and increased personal computer access, we find it unlikely that filing remains infeasible electronic prohibitive. So the solution that we proposed is to eliminate the exemptions that we had in place previously.

of the considerations that included in the NPRM included asking the public to weigh in on whether there's still categories of individuals or entities for which exemptions warranted, such as small entities, are individuals with disabilities, and low-income individuals. We also sought comment on amount of time necessary to provide filers prepare for the transition and other implementation issues, such handling as confidential information.

The second part would be to require

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

email addresses on forms. So it's currently optional but mandatory for applicants, not licensees, and registrants to provide an email address on the relevant forms that are submitted solution would be to on these systems. Our require inclusion of an email address on all forms on all systems. Once inclusion of an email address is mandatory, we proposed dismissing as defective an application where an email address was not included.

In terms of considerations, we asked the public to comment on how we can ensure that applicants and licensees keep their email addresses up-to-date, and whether we should add change of email address to the non-exhaustive list of minor modifications. We also sought comment on whether to require an email address on all pleadings related to applications and filings in these systems, and whether there's possible privacy issues related to the collection of email addresses.

Lastly, the NPRM proposes to eliminate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

correspondence by mail. In 2014 and 2016, the Bureau took steps to reduce the amount of paper correspondence that were generated by the ULS and So, first, we converted official ASR system. electronic records for authorizations, mailing hard copies only when an entity opted eliminated several categories Second, we of notices generated by these systems and sent Nevertheless, thousands users by USPS. of authorizations and letters are still sent by USPS each year, and this is even though official accessed electronically copies can be downloaded. Ιn about 80 percent of these instances, you even had the email address file.

So our solution was, as we proposed, to eliminate requests for the Bureau to mail hard copies, given that the users can access and download their official authorizations, leases, and registrations from the ULS and ASR system at any time. We also proposed to send letters electronically using the email addresses on file.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	In terms of consideration, we asked
2	the public to comment on whether the Commission
3	should maintain an option for individuals to
4	receive paper copies on a case-by-case basis, and
5	we also asked whether the Commission's waiver
6	process is sufficient to deal with any
7	case-specific needs for paper filings.
8	That wraps up the presentation. And
9	if you have any further questions, you can
10	contact me or Jessica Greffenius, who is the
11	Assistant Chief of the Wireless Mobility
12	Division. So thank you for your time.
13	CHAIR POCIASK: Okay. Are there any
14	questions? Anyone on the phone have any
15	questions?
16	MR. MARSHALL: I have a question.
17	CHAIR POCIASK: Yes, go ahead.
18	MR. MARSHALL: Jaclyn, thanks for
19	coming in today. I really appreciate it. Just a
20	quick question. This comes close to home. I've
21	had an amateur radio license since 1968, and
22	little did I ever believe, when I was scared to

1	death going to the FCC office in Buffalo, New
2	York to be examined by the engineer in charge for
3	my license and all that, whether I'd ever
4	believed I'd be working for the FCC for almost 20
5	years.
6	But anyway, the question is this if
7	you know, and maybe you don't know at this
8	granular level at this time, and that's certainly
9	understandable how would an individual, for
10	example, wanting an amateur radio license or
11	wanting to renew an amateur radio license go
12	about doing it? Because I'm going to have to do
13	that in a year or two.
14	MS. ROSEN: We have our division chief
15	in the back, and he can correct me if I'm wrong,
16	ULS manages all of the license applications,
17	modifications, renewals, so that's generally the
18	first place to look.
19	MR. MARSHALL: So I would go into the
20	ULS system and do it there?
21	MS. ROSEN: Yes.
22	MR. MARSHALL: Is that what you're

1	telling me, you think?
2	MS. ROSEN: Yes.
3	MR. MARSHALL: Okay, okay. And then
4	would I then I could then download a copy of
5	my license then, rather than you sending me one
6	in the mail?
7	MS. ROSEN: Correct.
8	MR. MARSHALL: Is that how it's going
9	to work?
10	MS. ROSEN: Yes.
11	MR. MARSHALL: Okay. And if I have to
12	take an exam, you know, and somebody has to
13	certify, there's volunteer examiners now for
14	amateur radio licenses, how is that going to
15	work? And you may not know this for sure at this
16	point, but would the examiner then have to also
17	go online and somehow certify that I passed the
18	test as a new applicant?
19	MS. ROSEN: Yes.
20	MR. MARSHALL: Okay, all right. Thank
21	you.
22	MS. ROSEN: Thank you very much.

1	CHAIR POCIASK: All right. Well thank
2	you. I appreciate that.
3	(Applause.)
4	CHAIR POCIASK: So with that, I think
5	we've wrapped up. We have just one last thing.
6	If there's anyone on the phone or any last
7	questions or discussion before I move to adjourn.
8	Okay. And with that, so then I do
9	just that. So I move to adjourn, and then I
10	guess do we need a second or
11	VICE CHAIR BERLYN: Second.
12	CHAIR POCIASK: And we're done. Thank
13	you.
14	MR. MARSHALL: Thank you very much,
15	everybody.
16	CHAIR POCIASK: Thanks for coming.
17	MR. MARSHALL: We'll send out a copy
18	of the final recommendation.
19	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
20	went off the record at 1:40 p.m.)
21	